

DIFFERENCE SETS AND POLYNOMIALS OF PRIME VARIABLES

HONGZE LI AND HAO PAN

ABSTRACT. Let $\psi(x)$ be a polynomial with rational coefficients. Suppose that ψ has the positive leading coefficient and zero constant term. Let A be a set of positive integers with the positive upper density. Then there exist $x, y \in A$ and a prime p such that $x - y = \psi(p - 1)$. Furthermore, if P is a set of primes with the positive relative upper density, then there exist $x, y \in P$ and a prime p such that $x - y = \psi(p - 1)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a set A of positive integers, define

$$\bar{d}(A) = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap [1, x]|}{x}.$$

Furstenberg [9, Theorem 1.2] and Sárközy [21] independently confirmed the following conjecture of Lovász:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that A is a set of positive integers with $\bar{d}(A) > 0$, then there exist $x, y \in A$ and a positive integer z such that $x - y = z^2$.*

In fact, the z^2 in Theorem 1.1 can be replaced by an arbitrary integral-valued polynomial $f(z)$ with $f(0) = 0$. On the other hand, Sárközy [22] also solved a problem of Erdős:

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that A is a set of positive integers with $\bar{d}(A) > 0$, then there exist $x, y \in A$ and a prime p such that $x - y = p - 1$.*

For the further developments of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, the readers may refer to [24], [18], [1], [10], [16], [17], [20]. In the present paper, we shall give a common generalization of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Define

$$\Lambda_{b,W} = \{x : Wx + b \text{ is prime}\}$$

for $1 \leq b \leq W$ with $(b, W) = 1$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\psi(x)$ be a polynomial with integral coefficients and zero constant term. Suppose that $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ satisfies $\bar{d}(A) > 0$. Then there exist $x, y \in A$ and $z \in \Lambda_{1,W}$ such that $x - y = \psi(z)$.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11P32; Secondary 05D99, 11P55.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10471090).

Corollary 1.1. *Let $\psi(x)$ be a polynomial with rational coefficients and zero constant term. Suppose that $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ satisfies $\bar{d}(A) > 0$. Then there exist $x, y \in A$ and a prime p such that $x - y = \psi(p - 1)$.*

Proof. Let W be the least common multiple of the denominators of the coefficients of ψ . Then the coefficients of $\psi^*(x) = \psi(Wx)$ are all integers. Then by Theorem 1.3, there exist $x, y \in A$ and $z \in \Lambda_{1,W}$ such that

$$x - y = \psi^*(z) = \psi(p - 1)$$

where $p = Wz + 1$. □

Quite recently, about one month after the first version of this paper was open in the arXiv server, in [3] Bergelson and Lesigne proved that the set

$$\{(\psi_1(p - 1), \dots, \psi_m(p - 1)) : p \text{ prime}\}$$

is an enhanced van der Corput set $\mathbb{Z}^m$, where $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m$ are polynomials with integral coefficients and zero constant term. Of course, their result can be extended to the set $\{(\psi_1(z), \dots, \psi_m(z)) : z \in \Lambda_{1,W}\}$ without any special difficulty. On the other hand, Kamae and Mendés France [15] proved that any van der Corput set is also a set of 1-recurrence. Hence Bergelson and Lesigne's result also implies our Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.1. In fact, they showed that the set $\{\psi(p - 1) : p \text{ prime}\}$ is not only a set of 1-recurrence, but also a set of strong 1-recurrence.

For two sets A, X of positive integers, define

$$\bar{d}_X(A) = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap X \cap [1, x]|}{|X \cap [1, x]|}.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the set of all primes. In [11], Green established a Roth's-type extension of a result of van der Corput [6] on 3-term arithmetic progressions in primes:

Let P be a set of primes with $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) > 0$, then there exists a non-trivial 3-term arithmetic progressions contained in P .

The key of Green's proof is a transference principle, which transfers a subset $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ to a subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ with $|A|/N \geq \bar{d}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)/64$, where N is a large prime. Using Green's ingredients, now we can show that:

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\psi(x)$ be a polynomial with integral coefficients and zero constant term. Suppose that $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ satisfies $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) > 0$. Then there exist $x, y \in P$ and $z \in \Lambda_{1,W}$ such that $x - y = \psi(z)$.*

Similarly, we have

Corollary 1.2. *Let $\psi(x)$ be a polynomial with rational coefficients and zero constant term. Suppose that $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ satisfies $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) > 0$. Then there exist $x, y \in P$ and a prime p such that $x - y = \psi(p - 1)$.*

On the other hand, the well-known Szemerédi theorem [23] asserts that for any set A of positive integers with $\bar{d}(A) > 0$, there exist arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions contained in A . In [2], Bergelson and Leibman extended Theorem 1.1 and Szemerédi's theorem:

Let $\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_m(x)$ be arbitrary integral-valued polynomials with $\psi_1(0) = \dots = \psi_m(0) = 0$. Then for any set A of positive integers with $\bar{d}(A) > 0$, there exist $x \in A$ and a integer z such that $x + \psi_1(z), \dots, x + \psi_m(z)$ are all contained in A .

Recently, Tao and Ziegler [26] proved that:

Let $\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_m(x)$ be arbitrary integral-valued polynomials with $\psi_1(0) = \dots = \psi_m(0) = 0$. Then for any set P of primes with $\bar{d}_P(P) > 0$, there exist $x \in P$ and a integer z such that $x + \psi_1(z), \dots, x + \psi_m(z)$ are all contained in P .

This is a generalization of Green and Tao's celebrated result [12] that the primes contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. Furthermore, with the help of a very deep result due to Green and Tao [13] on the Gowers norms [14], Frantzikinakis, Host and Kra [8] proved that if $\bar{d}(A) > 0$ then A contains a 3-term arithmetic progression with the difference $p-1$, where p is a prime. In fact, using the methods of Green and Tao in [13], it is not difficult to replace A by P with $\bar{d}_P(P) > 0$ in the result of Frantzikinakis, Host and Kra.

Motivated by the above results, here we propose two conjectures:

Conjecture 1.1. Let $\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_m(x)$ be arbitrary polynomials with rational coefficients and zero constant terms. Then for any set A of positive integers with $\bar{d}(A) > 0$, there exist $x \in A$ and a prime p such that $x + \psi_1(p-1), \dots, x + \psi_m(p-1)$ are all contained in A .

Conjecture 1.2. Let $\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_m(x)$ be arbitrary polynomials with rational coefficients and zero constant terms. Then for any set P of primes with $\bar{d}_P(P) > 0$, there exist $x \in P$ and a prime p such that $x + \psi_1(p-1), \dots, x + \psi_m(p-1)$ are all contained in P .

The proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 will be given in section 3 and section 4. Throughout this paper, without the additional mentions, the constants implied by $\ll$, $\gg$ and $O(\cdot)$ will only depend on the degree of ψ .

2. SOME NECESSARY LEMMAS ON EXPONENTIAL SUMS

Let $\mathbb{T}$ denote the torus $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. For any function f over $\mathbb{Z}$, define $f^\Delta(x) = f(x+1) - f(x)$. Also, we abbreviate $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}x}$ to $e(x)$. Let $\psi(x) = a_1x^k + \dots + a_kx$ be a polynomial with integral coefficients. In this section, we always assume that $W, |a_1|, \dots, |a_k| \leq \log N$.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $h(x)$ is an arbitrary polynomial and $0 < \nu < 1$. Then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$

$$\sum_{x=1}^N h(x)e(\alpha\psi(x)) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r=1}^q e(a\psi(r)/q) \sum_{x=1}^N h(x)e((\alpha - a/q)\psi(x)) + O_{\deg h}(h(N)N^\nu)$$

provided that $|\alpha q - a| \leq N^\nu/\psi(N)$ with $1 \leq a \leq q \leq N^\nu$.

Proof. Let $\theta = \alpha - a/q$. Then by a partial summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x=1}^N h(x) e(a\psi(x)/q) e(\theta\psi(x)) \\ &= h(N) e(\theta\psi(N)) F_N(a/q) - \sum_{y=1}^{N-1} (h(y+1) e(\theta\psi(y+1)) - h(y) e(\theta\psi(y))) F_y(a/q), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F_y(a/q) := \sum_{x=1}^y e(a\psi(x)/q) = \frac{y}{q} \sum_{r=1}^q e(a\psi(r)/q) + O(q).$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} & h(y+1) e(\theta\psi(y+1)) - h(y) e(\theta\psi(y)) \\ &= (h(y+1) - h(y)) e(\theta\psi(y+1)) + h(y) e(\theta\psi(y)) (e(\theta\psi^\Delta(y)) - 1) \\ &= O(h^\Delta(y)) + O(h(y) \theta \psi^\Delta(y)). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x=1}^N h(x) e(a\psi(x)/q) e(\theta\psi(x)) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r=1}^q e(a\psi(r)/q) \sum_{x=1}^N h(x) e(\theta\psi(x)) + O(\theta q N \psi^\Delta(N) h(N)) + O(q h^\Delta(N) N). \end{aligned}$$

□

Define

$$\lambda_{b,W}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\phi(W)}{W} \log(Wx + b) & \text{if } Wx + b \text{ is prime,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where ϕ is the Euler totient function.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $h(x)$ is an arbitrary polynomial and $B > 1$. Then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x=1}^N h(x) \lambda_{b,W}(x) e(\alpha\psi(x)) \\ &= \frac{\phi(W)}{\phi(Wq)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (Wr+b, q)=1}} e(a\psi(r)/q) \sum_{x=1}^N h(x) e((\alpha - a/q)\psi(x)) + O_{\deg h}(h(N) N e^{-c\sqrt{\log N}}) \end{aligned}$$

provided that $|\alpha q - a| \leq (\log N)^B / \psi(N)$ with $1 \leq a \leq q \leq (\log N)^B$, where c is a positive constant.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} F_y(a/q) &= \sum_{x=1}^y \lambda_{b,W}(x) e(a\psi(x)/q) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq Wq \\ (r,q)=1 \\ r \equiv b \pmod{W}}} e(a\psi((r-b)/W)/q) \sum_{\substack{x \in \Lambda_{r,Wq} \\ Wqx+r \leq Wy+b}} \frac{\phi(W)q}{\phi(Wq)} \lambda_{r,Wq}(x). \end{aligned}$$

The well-known Siegel-Walfisz theorem (cf. [7]) asserts that

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq y \text{ is prime} \\ p \equiv b \pmod{q}}} \log p = \frac{y}{\phi(q)} + O(ye^{-c'\sqrt{\log y}})$$

provided that $q \leq \log^{c_1} y$, where c_1, c' are positive constants. Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{x \in \Lambda_{r,Wq} \\ Wqx+r \leq Wy+b}} \lambda_{r,Wq}(x) = \frac{y}{q} + O(Wye^{-c'\sqrt{\log(Wy)}}).$$

It follows that

$$F_y(a/q) = \frac{\phi(W)y}{\phi(Wq)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (Wr+b,q)=1}} e(a\psi(r)/q) + O(ye^{-c'\sqrt{\log y}/2}).$$

Let $\theta = \alpha - a/q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{x=1}^N h(x) \lambda_{b,W}(x) e(\alpha\psi(x)) \\ &= h(N) e(\theta\psi(N)) F_N(a/q) - \sum_{y=1}^{N-1} (h(y+1) e(\theta\psi(y+1)) - h(y) e(\theta\psi(y))) F_y(a/q) \\ &= \frac{\phi(W)}{\phi(Wq)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (Wr+b,q)=1}} e(a\psi(r)/q) \sum_{y=1}^N h(y) e(\theta\psi(y)) + O(h(N) N e^{-c'\sqrt{\log N}/3}) \end{aligned}$$

by noting that

$$h(y+1) e(\theta\psi(y+1)) - h(y) e(\theta\psi(y)) = O(h^\Delta(y)) + O(h(y) \theta \psi^\Delta(y+1)).$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *For any $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$,*

$$\sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1) e(\theta\psi(x)) = \sum_{x=1}^{\psi(N)} e(\theta x) + O(\theta\psi(N) \psi^\Delta(N)).$$

Proof. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1)e(\theta\psi(x)) - \sum_{x=1}^{\psi(N)} e(\theta x) &= \sum_{x=1}^N e(\theta\psi(x)) \sum_{y=0}^{\psi^\Delta(x-1)-1} (1 - e(-\theta y)) \\
&= O\left(\sum_{x=1}^N \sum_{y=0}^{\psi^\Delta(x-1)-1} \theta y\right) \\
&= O(\theta\psi(N)\psi^\Delta(N)).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.4. *For any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\sum_{x=1}^N e(\alpha\psi(x)) \ll_\epsilon N^{1+\epsilon} \left(\frac{a_1}{q} + \frac{a_1}{N} + \frac{q}{N^k} \right)^{2^{1-k}}$$

provided that $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$.

Proof. We left the proof of Lemma 2.4 as an exercise for the readers, since it is just a little modification of the proof of Wely's inequality [27, Lemma 2.4]. □

Lemma 2.5 (Hua). *Suppose that $(q, a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{r=1}^q e(\psi(r)/q) \ll_\epsilon q^{1-\frac{1}{k}+\epsilon}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Proof. See [27, Theorem 7.1]. □

Lemma 2.6.

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1)e(\alpha\psi(x)) \right|^\rho d\alpha \ll_\rho \gcd(\psi)\psi(N)^{\rho-1}$$

for $\rho \geq k2^{k+2}$, where $\gcd(\psi)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of $a_1, \dots, a_k$.

Proof. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{x=1}^N (a\psi)^\Delta(x-1)e(\alpha a\psi(x)) \right|^\rho d\alpha &= a^{\rho-1} \int_0^a \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1)e(\alpha\psi(x)) \right|^\rho d\alpha \\
&= a^\rho \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1)e(\alpha\psi(x)) \right|^\rho d\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

So without loss of generality, we may assume that $\gcd(\psi) = 1$. Let $\nu = 1/5$ and $\epsilon = 2^{-k}\nu - \frac{k}{2\rho}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{M}_{a,q} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{T} : |\alpha q - a| \leq N^\nu/\psi(N)\}, \quad \mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \leq N^\nu \\ (a,q)=1}} \mathfrak{M}_{a,q}$$

and $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathfrak{M}$. Clearly $\text{mes}(\mathfrak{M}) \leq N^{3\nu}/\psi(N)$, where $\text{mes}(\mathfrak{M})$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of $\mathfrak{M}$.

If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$, then by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1)e(\alpha\psi(x)) \\ &= \psi^\Delta(N-1) \sum_{x=1}^N e(\alpha\psi(x)) - \sum_{y=1}^{N-1} (\psi^\Delta(y) - \psi^\Delta(y-1)) \sum_{x=1}^y e(\alpha\psi(x)) \\ &\ll_\epsilon \psi^\Delta(N) N^{1+\epsilon-2^{1-k}\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1)e(\alpha\psi(x)) \right|^\rho d\alpha \ll_\epsilon \psi(N)^\rho N^{\rho(\epsilon-2^{1-k}\nu)} = o(\psi(N)^{\rho-1}).$$

On the other hand, when $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}$, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3,

$$\sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1)e(\alpha\psi(x)) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r=1}^q e(a\psi(r)/q) \sum_{x=1}^{\psi(N)} e((\alpha - a/q)x) + O(\psi^\Delta(N)N^\nu).$$

Let $L = \lfloor \rho/2 \rfloor$. Obviously

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1)e(\alpha\psi(x)) \right|^\rho d\alpha \leq \psi(N)^{\rho-2L} \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1)e(\alpha\psi(x)) \right|^{2L} d\alpha.$$

So it suffices to show that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1)e(\alpha\psi(x)) \right|^{2L} d\alpha \ll_L \psi(N)^{2L-1}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1)e(\alpha\psi(x)) \right|^{2L} \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r=1}^q e(a\psi(r)/q) \sum_{x=1}^{\psi(N)} e((\alpha - a/q)x) \right|^{2L} + O(\psi(N)^{2L-1} \psi^\Delta(N) N^\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1)e(\alpha\psi(x)) \right|^{2L} d\alpha \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \leq N^\nu \\ (a,q)=1}} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_{a,q}} \left| \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r=1}^q e(a\psi(r)/q) \sum_{x=1}^{\psi(N)} e((\alpha - a/q)x) \right|^{2L} d\alpha \\ &\quad + O(\psi(N)^{2L-1} \psi^\Delta(N) N^\nu \text{mes}(\mathfrak{M})). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_{a,q}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^{\psi(N)} e((\alpha - a/q)x) \right|^{2L} d\alpha &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^{\psi(N)} e((\alpha - a/q)x) \right|^{2L} d\alpha \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x_1, \dots, x_{2L} \leq \psi(N) \\ x_1 + \dots + x_L = x_{L+1} + \dots + x_{2L}}} 1 \\ &\leq \psi(N)^{2L-1}. \end{aligned}$$

And by Lemma 2.5,

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \leq N^\nu \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r=1}^q e(a\psi(r)/q) \right|^{2L} \ll_\epsilon \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \leq N^\nu \\ (a,q)=1}} q^{-2L(\frac{1}{k}-\epsilon)} \leq \sum_{1 \leq q \leq N^\nu} q^{1-2L(\frac{1}{k}-\epsilon)} = O_L(1)$$

since $L > (\frac{1}{k} - \epsilon)^{-1}$. We are done. $\square$

Lemma 2.7.

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (Wr+b,q)=1}} e(a\psi(r)/q) \ll_\epsilon \gcd(\psi) q^{1-\frac{1}{k(k+1)}+\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Clearly

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (Wr+b,q)=1}} e(a\psi(r)/q) = \sum_{r=1}^q e(a\psi(r)/q) \sum_{d|(Wr+b,q)} \mu(d)$$

where μ is the Möbius function. Note that $d \mid (Wr+b) \implies (d, W) = 1$ since $(W, b) = 1$. Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (Wr+b,q)=1}} e(a\psi(r)/q) = \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ b_d \text{ exists}}} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ r \equiv b_d \pmod{d}}} e(a\psi(r)/q),$$

where $1 \leq b_d \leq d$ is the integer such that $Wb_d + b \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$.

For those $d \leq q^{\frac{1}{k(k+1)}}$ with b_d exists, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ r \equiv b_d \pmod{d}}} e(a\psi(r)/q) = \sum_{r=0}^{q/d-1} e(a\psi(dr + b_d)/q).$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(dr + b_d) &= \sum_{i=1}^k a_{k-i+1} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} d^j r^j b_d^{i-j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k d^j r^j \sum_{i=j}^k \binom{i}{j} a_{k-i+1} b_d^{i-j} \\ &= a'_1 r^k + a'_2 r^{k-1} + \dots + a'_k r + a'_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$(q, a'_1, \dots, a'_k) = (q, d^k a_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_k) \leq d^k (q, a_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_k).$$

Also

$$a'_2 = d^{k-1}(a_2 + k a_1 b_d).$$

Therefore

$$(q, a_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_k) = (q, a_1, d^{k-1} a_2, \dots, a'_k) \leq d^{k-1} (q, a_1, a_2, \dots, a'_k).$$

Similarly, we obtain that

$$(q, a'_1, \dots, a'_k) \leq d^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} (q, a_1, \dots, a_k).$$

Thus by Lemma 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{q/d-1} e(a\psi(dr + b_d)/q) &\ll_{\epsilon} (q/d, a'_1, \dots, a'_k) \left(\frac{q/d}{(q/d, a'_1, \dots, a'_k)} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{k}+\frac{\epsilon}{k}} \\ &\leq (q, a'_1, \dots, a'_k)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{k}} d^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{k}-1} q^{1-\frac{1-\epsilon}{k}} \\ &\leq (a_1, \dots, a_k)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{k}} d^{(\frac{k+1}{2}+\frac{1}{k})(1-\epsilon)-1} q^{1-\frac{1-\epsilon}{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, clearly

$$\left| \sum_{r=0}^{q/d-1} e(a\psi(dr + b_d)/q) \right| \leq \frac{q}{d} < q^{1-\frac{1}{k(k+1)}}$$

when $d > q^{\frac{1}{k(k+1)}}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (Wr+b_d, q)=1}} e(a\psi(r)/q) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{d|q, d \leq q^{\frac{1}{k(k+1)}} \\ \text{and } b_d \text{ exists}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ r \equiv b_d \pmod{d}}} e(a\psi(r)/q) \right| + \sum_{\substack{d|q, d > q^{\frac{1}{k(k+1)}} \\ \text{and } b_d \text{ exists}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ r \equiv b_d \pmod{d}}} e(a\psi(r)/q) \right| \\ &\ll_{\epsilon} d(q) (\gcd(\psi))^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{k}} q^{1-\frac{1-\epsilon}{k}+\frac{1-\epsilon}{2(k+1)}} + q^{1-\frac{1}{k(k+1)}} \\ &\ll_{\epsilon} \gcd(\psi) q^{1-\frac{1}{k(k+1)}+\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where $d(q)$ is the divisor function. □

Lemma 2.8. *For any $A > 0$, there is a $B = B(A, k) > 0$ such that,*

$$\sum_{x=1}^N \lambda_{b,W}(x) e(\alpha\psi(x)) \ll_B N(\log N)^{-A}$$

provided that $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ with $1 \leq a \leq q$, $(a, q) = 1$ and $(\log N)^B \leq q \leq \psi(N)(\log N)^{-B}$.

Proof. At least Vinogradov had dealt with the case $\psi(x) = x^k$ and $W = 1$ in [28]. The proof of this Lemma is very standard but too long, so we give the detailed proof as an appendix. $\square$

Lemma 2.9.

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1) \lambda_{b,W}(x) e(\alpha \psi(x)) \right|^\rho d\alpha \ll_\rho \gcd(\psi) \psi(N)^{\rho-1}$$

for $\rho \geq k2^{k+2} + 1$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\gcd(\psi) = 1$. Let $B > 2\rho$ be a sufficiently large integer satisfying the requirement of Lemma 2.8 for $A = 2\rho$. Let

$$\mathfrak{M}_{a,q} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{T} : |\alpha q - a| \leq (\log N)^{2B}/\psi(N)\}, \quad \mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \leq (\log N)^{2B} \\ (a,q)=1}} \mathfrak{M}_{a,q}$$

and $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathfrak{M}$.

If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$, then there exist $(\log N)^{2B} \leq q \leq \psi(N)(\log N)^{-2B}$ and $1 \leq a \leq q$ with $(a, q) = 1$ such that $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$. By Lemma 2.8,

$$\sum_{x=1}^y \lambda_{b,W}(x) e(\alpha \psi(x)) \ll_B y (\log y)^{-2\rho}.$$

for $N(\log N)^{-\frac{B}{k}} \leq y \leq N$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1) \lambda_{b,W}(x) e(\alpha \psi(x)) \right| \\ &= \left| \psi^\Delta(N-1) \sum_{x=1}^N e(\alpha \psi(x)) \lambda_{b,W}(x) - \sum_{y=1}^{N-1} (\psi^\Delta)^\Delta(y-1) \sum_{x=1}^y e(\alpha \psi(x)) \lambda_{b,W}(x) \right| \\ &\leq \psi^\Delta(N-1) \left| \sum_{x=1}^N e(\alpha \psi(x)) \lambda_{b,W}(x) \right| + \sum_{1 \leq y < N(\log N)^{-\frac{B}{k}}} |(\psi^\Delta)^\Delta(y-1) y| \\ &\quad + \sum_{N(\log N)^{-\frac{B}{k}} \leq y < N} (\psi^\Delta)^\Delta(y-1) \left| \sum_{x=1}^y e(\alpha \psi(x)) \lambda_{b,W}(x) \right| \\ &\ll_B \psi(N) (\log N)^{-2\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $L = \lfloor (\rho - 1)/2 \rfloor$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathfrak{m}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1) \lambda_{b,W}(x) e(\alpha \psi(x)) \right|^\rho d\alpha \\ & \ll_B (\psi(N) (\log N)^{-2\rho})^{\rho-2L} \int_{\mathfrak{m}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1) \lambda_{b,W}(x) e(\alpha \psi(x)) \right|^{2L} d\alpha \\ & \ll_L \psi(N)^{\rho-2L} (\log N)^{-2\rho} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1) \lambda_{b,W}(x) e(\alpha \psi(x)) \right|^{2L} d\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^N \psi^\Delta(x-1) \lambda_{b,W}(x) e(\alpha \psi(x)) \right|^{2L} d\alpha \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x_1, \dots, x_{2L} \leq N \\ \psi(x_1) + \dots + \psi(x_L) = \psi(x_{L+1}) + \dots + \psi(x_{2L})}} \prod_{j=1}^{2L} \psi^\Delta(x_j - 1) \lambda_{b,W}(x_j) \\ & \leq (\log(WN + b))^{2L} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x_1, \dots, x_{2L} \leq N \\ \psi(x_1) + \dots + \psi(x_L) = \psi(x_{L+1}) + \dots + \psi(x_{2L})}} \prod_{j=1}^{2L} \psi^\Delta(x_j - 1) \\ & \ll_L (\log N)^{2L} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{x \leq N} \psi^\Delta(x-1) e(\alpha \psi(x)) \right|^{2L} d\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

so using Lemma 2.6 we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \left| \sum_{x \leq N} \psi^\Delta(x-1) \lambda_{b,W}(x) e(\alpha \psi(x)) \right|^\rho d\alpha \ll_L \psi(N)^{\rho-1} (\log N)^{-\rho}.$$

If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}_{a,q}$, then by Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{x \leq N} \psi^\Delta(x-1) \lambda_{b,W}(x) e(\alpha \psi(x)) \right|^\rho \\ &= \left| \frac{\phi(W)}{\phi(Wq)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (Wr+b, q)=1}} e(a\psi(r)/q) \sum_{x \leq N} \psi^\Delta(x-1) e((\alpha - a/q)\psi(x)) \right|^\rho \\ & \quad + O(\psi(N)^\rho (\log N)^{-7B}). \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 2.7, letting $\epsilon = (k+2)^{-4}$,

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \leq (\log N)^B \\ (a, q)=1}} \left| \frac{\phi(W)}{\phi(Wq)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (Wr+b, q)=1}} e(a\psi(r)/q) \right|^\rho \ll_\epsilon \sum_{1 \leq q \leq (\log N)^B} q^{1-\rho(\frac{1}{k(k+1)}-2\epsilon)} = O_{\rho, \epsilon}(1).$$

Applying Lemma 2.6, we concludes that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left| \sum_{x \leq N} \psi^\Delta(x-1) \lambda_{b,W}(x) e(\alpha \psi(x)) \right|^\rho d\alpha \\
&= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \leq (\log N)^B \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \frac{\phi(W)}{\phi(Wq)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (Wr+b,q)=1}} e(a\psi(r)/q) \right|^\rho \\
&\quad \cdot \int_{\mathfrak{M}_{a,q}} \left| \sum_{x \leq N} \psi^\Delta(x-1) e((\alpha - a/q)\psi(x)) \right|^\rho d\alpha + O(\text{mes}(\mathfrak{M}) \psi(N)^\rho (\log N)^{-7B}) \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \leq (\log N)^B \\ (a,q)=1}} \left| \frac{\phi(W)}{\phi(Wq)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (Wr+b,q)=1}} e(a\psi(r)/q) \right|^\rho \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{x \leq N} \psi^\Delta(x-1) e(\alpha \psi(x)) \right|^\rho d\alpha + O(\psi(N)^{\rho-1} (\log N)^{-B}) \\
&\ll_{\rho, \epsilon} \psi(N)^{\rho-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.10. *Suppose that ψ is positive and strictly increasing on $[1, N]$. Let $p \geq \psi(N)$ be a prime. Then*

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{r=1}^p \left| \sum_{z=1}^N \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{b,W}(z) e(-r\psi(z)/p) \right|^\rho \ll_\rho \text{gcd}(\psi) \psi(N)^{\rho-1}$$

for $\rho \geq k2^{k+2} + 1$.

Proof. We require a well-known result of Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund (cf. [11, Lemma 6.5]):

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \left| \sum_{x=1}^p f(x) e(-xr/p) \right|^\rho \ll_\rho p \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\hat{f}(\theta)|^\rho d\theta$$

for arbitrary function $f : \mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where

$$\hat{f}(\theta) = \sum_{x=1}^p f(x) e(-\theta x).$$

Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{b,W}(z) & \text{if } x = \psi(z) \text{ where } 1 \leq z \leq N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \left| \sum_{z=1}^N \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{b,W}(z) e(-\psi(z)r/p) \right|^\rho \\
&= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \left| \sum_{x=1}^p f(x) e(-xr/p) \right|^\rho \\
&\ll_{\rho} p \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^p f(x) e(-x\theta) \right|^\rho d\theta \\
&= p \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{z=1}^N \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{b,W}(z) e(-\psi(z)\theta) \right|^\rho d\theta \\
&\ll_{\rho} \gcd(\psi) p \psi(N)^{\rho-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 2.9 is applied in the last inequality. $\square$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Clearly Theorem 1.3 is a consequence of the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $k \geq t \geq 1$ are integers, a_{k-t+1} is a non-zero integer and $0 < \delta \leq 1$. Let $\psi(x) = a_1 x^k + a_2 x^{k-1} + \dots + a_{k-t+1} x^t$ be an arbitrary polynomial with integral coefficients and positive leading coefficient. Then for any positive integer W , there exist $N(\delta, W, \psi)$ and $c(\delta, a_{k-t+1}) > 0$ satisfying that*

$$\min_{\substack{A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ |A| \geq \delta n}} |\{(x, y, z) : x, y \in A, z \in \Lambda_{1,W}, x - y = \psi(z)\}| \geq c(\delta, a_{k-t+1}) \frac{W n^{1 + \frac{1}{k}} a_1^{-\frac{1}{k}}}{\phi(W) \log n}$$

if $n \geq N(\delta, W, \psi)$.

Remark. We emphasize that in Theorem 3.1 the constant $c(\delta, a_{k-t+1})$ only depends on k, δ, a_{k-t+1} . As we will see later, this fact is important in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof. Similarly as Tao's arguments [25] on Roth's theorem [19], we shall make an induction on δ . Suppose that $P(\delta)$ is a proposition on $0 < \delta \leq 1$. Assume that $P(\delta)$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) There exists $0 < \delta_0 < 1$ such that $P(\delta)$ holds for any $\delta_0 \leq \delta \leq 1$.
- (ii) There exists a continuous function $\epsilon(\delta) > 0$ such that $\delta + \epsilon(\delta) \leq 1$ for any $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ and $P(\delta + \epsilon(\delta))$ holds implies $P(\delta)$ also holds.
- (iii) If $0 < \delta' < \delta \leq 1$, then $P(\delta')$ holds implies that $P(\delta)$ also holds.

Then we claim that $P(\delta)$ holds for any $0 < \delta \leq 1$. In fact, assume on the contrary that there exists $0 < \delta \leq 1$ such that $P(\delta)$ doesn't hold. Let

$$\delta^* = \limsup_{\substack{0 < \delta \leq 1 \\ P(\delta) \text{ doesn't hold}}} \delta.$$

From the condition (i), we know that $\delta^* \leq \delta_0$. Since $\delta + \epsilon(\delta)$ is continuous, there exists $0 < \delta_1 < \delta^*$ such that

$$|(\delta^* + \epsilon(\delta^*)) - (\delta_1 + \epsilon(\delta_1))| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon(\delta^*),$$

i.e., $0 < \delta_1 < \delta^* < \delta_1 + \epsilon(\delta_1) \leq 1$. Hence $P(\delta_1 + \epsilon(\delta_1))$ holds but $P(\delta_1)$ doesn't hold by the definition of δ^* . This obviously leads to a contradiction with the conditions (ii) and (iii).

Suppose that A is subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $|A| \geq \delta n$. Firstly, we shall show that Theorem 3.1 holds for $\delta \geq 3/4$. Define

$$r_{W,\psi}(A) = |\{(x, y, z) : x, y \in A, z \in \Lambda_{1,W}, x - y = \psi(z)\}|.$$

Clearly

$$|\{z \in \Lambda_{1,W} : 1 \leq \psi(z) \leq n/3\}| \geq \frac{1}{4k} \frac{W n^{\frac{1}{k}} a_1^{-\frac{1}{k}}}{\phi(W) \log n},$$

whenever n is sufficiently large (depending on the coefficients of ψ). And for any $1 \leq z \leq n/3$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\{(x, y) : x, y \in A, x - y = z\}| &= |A \cap (z + A)| \\ &= 2|A| - |A \cup (z + A)| \\ &\geq \frac{2 \cdot 3n}{4} - \frac{4n}{3} = \frac{n}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$r_{W,\psi}(A) \geq \frac{1}{24k} \frac{W n^{1+\frac{1}{k}} a_1^{-\frac{1}{k}}}{\phi(W) \log n}.$$

Now we assume that $\delta < 3/4$. Let $\epsilon = \epsilon(\delta, a_{k-t+1})$ be a small positive real number and $Q = Q(\delta, a_{k-t+1})$ be a large integer to be chosen later. We shall show that if Theorem 3.1 holds for $\delta + \epsilon$, it also holds for δ . Define

$$\psi_q(x) = \psi(qx)/q^t = a_1 q^{k-t} x^k + \dots + a_{k-t+1} x^t.$$

By the induction hypothesis on $\delta + \epsilon$, for any $1 \leq q \leq Q$

$$\min_{\substack{A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ |A| \geq (\delta + \epsilon)n}} r_{Wq, \psi_q}(A) \geq \frac{c(\delta + \epsilon, a_{k-t+1})}{2} \frac{Wq}{\phi(Wq)} \frac{n^{1+\frac{1}{k}} (a_1 q^{k-t})^{-\frac{1}{k}}}{\log n}$$

provided that

$$n \geq \max_{1 \leq q \leq Q} N(\delta + \epsilon, Wq, \psi_q).$$

Let $\mathbb{A}_m(b, d)$ denote the arithmetic progression $\{b, b + d, \dots, b + (m - 1)d\}$. Suppose that

$$n \geq \max\{e^{k(|a_1| + \dots + |a_{k-t+1}|)Q^{k-t}}, 10^4 \epsilon^{-1} Q^t \max_{1 \leq q \leq Q} N(\delta + \epsilon, Wq, \psi_q)\}$$

and $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $|A| = \delta n$. Let $m = \lfloor 10^{-2} \epsilon Q^{-t} n \rfloor$. Observe that $|\{b : x, y \in \mathbb{A}_m(b, q^t)\}| \leq m$ for every pair (x, y) . Let

$$A_{b, q^t} = \{1 + (x - b)/q^t, x \in A \cap \mathbb{A}_m(b, q^t)\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

Clearly if $x', y' \in A_{b,q^t}$ and $z' \in \Lambda_{1,Wq}$ satisfy that $x' - y' = \psi_q(z')$, then

$$x = b + (x' - 1)q^t, \quad y = b + (y' - 1)q^t \in A, \quad z = z'q \in \Lambda_{1,W}$$

and $x - y = \psi(z)$. So if there exists $1 \leq q \leq Q$ such that

$$|\{1 \leq b \leq n - mq^t : |A_{b,q^t}| \geq (\delta + \epsilon)m\}| \geq \epsilon n,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} r_{W,\psi}(A) &\geq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{1 \leq b \leq n - mq^t} r_{Wq,\psi_q}(A_{b,q^t}) \\ &\geq \epsilon n \frac{c(\delta + \epsilon, a_{k-t+1})}{2} \frac{Wq}{\phi(Wq)} \frac{m^{\frac{1}{k}}(a_1 q^{k-t})^{-\frac{1}{k}}}{\log m} \\ &\geq \frac{c(\delta + \epsilon, a_{k-t+1})\epsilon^{1+\frac{1}{k}}}{400Q} \cdot \frac{Wn^{1+\frac{1}{k}}a_1^{-\frac{1}{k}}}{\phi(W) \log n}. \end{aligned}$$

So we may assume that

$$|\{1 \leq b \leq n - mq^t : |A \cap \mathbb{A}_m(b, q^t)| \geq (\delta + \epsilon)m\}| < \epsilon n \quad (3.1)$$

for each $1 \leq q \leq Q$. Let

$$M = \max\{x \in \mathbb{Z} : \psi(x) \leq n\}.$$

Clearly $M = n^{\frac{1}{k}}a_1^{-\frac{1}{k}}(1 + o(1))$. We shall show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\left| \sum_{x \leq n} \mathbf{1}_A e(\alpha x) \right|^2 - \delta^2 \left| \sum_{x \leq n} e(\alpha x) \right|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{z \leq M} \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \right) d\alpha$$

is relatively small.

For $1 \leq q \leq Q$, define

$$\mathfrak{M}_{a,q} = \{\alpha : |\alpha - a/q| \leq \frac{1}{2}q^{-t}m^{-1}\}.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \leq Q \\ (a,q)=1}} \mathfrak{M}_{a,q},$$

and let $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathfrak{M}$. Let B be a sufficiently large integer. For $1 \leq q \leq (\log M)^B$, define

$$\mathfrak{M}_{a,q}^* = \{\alpha : |\alpha q - a| \leq (\log M)^B / \psi(M)\}.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{M}^* = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \leq (\log M)^B \\ (a,q)=1}} \mathfrak{M}_{a,q}^*$$

and let $\mathfrak{m}^* = \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathfrak{M}^*$.

Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$. We know $|\alpha q - a| \leq (\log M)^B / \psi(M)$ for some $1 \leq a \leq q < \psi(M)(\log M)^{-B}$ with $(a, q) = 1$. If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}^*$, i.e., $q \geq (\log M)^B$, then $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$

and $(\log y)^{\frac{B}{2}} \leq \psi(y)(\log y)^{-\frac{B}{2}}$ for any $M(\log M)^{-\frac{B}{2k}} \leq y \leq M$. So applying Lemma 2.8 and a partial summation, we have

$$\sum_{z \leq M} \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \ll_B \psi(M) (\log M)^{-1} \leq n (\log M)^{-1},$$

whenever B is sufficiently large.

Now suppose that $q < (\log M)^B$, i.e., $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}^*$. Applying Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{z \leq M} \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \\ &= \frac{\phi(W)}{\phi(Wq)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (Wr+1, q)=1}} e(a\psi(r)/q) \sum_{z \leq M} \psi^\Delta(z-1) e((\alpha - a/q)\psi(z)) \\ & \quad + O(\psi^\Delta(M) M (\log M)^{-4B}) \\ &= \frac{\phi(W)}{\phi(Wq)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (Wr+1, q)=1}} e(a\psi(r)/q) \sum_{z \leq n} e((\alpha - a/q)z) + O(\psi^\Delta(M) M (\log M)^{-4B}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$, either $q > Q$ or $|\alpha - a/q| > \frac{1}{2}q^{-t}m^{-1}$.

If $q > Q$, then in light of Lemma 2.7

$$\left| \frac{\phi(W)}{\phi(Wq)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (Wr+1, q)=1}} e(a\psi(r)/q) \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q \\ (Wr+1, q)=1}} e(a\psi(r)/q) \right| \leq C_1 |a_{k-t+1}| q^{-\frac{1}{k(k+2)}}.$$

And if $|\alpha - a/q| > \frac{1}{2}q^{-t}m^{-1}$, then

$$\left| \sum_{z=1}^n e((\alpha - a/q)z) \right| = \left| \frac{1 - e((\alpha - a/q)n)}{1 - e(\alpha - a/q)} \right| \leq 4\pi q^t m.$$

Hence for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$

$$\sum_{z \leq M} \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \leq C_1 |a_{k-t+1}| Q^{-\frac{1}{k(k+2)}} n + 4\pi m Q^t + O(n (\log n)^{-1}).$$

Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}$. Let $\tau = \mathbf{1}_A - \delta$ where $\mathbf{1}_A(x) = 1$ or 0 according whether $x \in A$ or not. Let

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{c=0}^{m-1} e(\alpha c)$$

and

$$T(\alpha) = \sum_{b=1}^n \tau(b) e(\alpha b).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(\alpha q^t)T(\alpha) &= \sum_{b=1}^n \tau(b) \sum_{c=0}^{m-1} e(\alpha(b + cq^t)) \\ &= \sum_{b=1}^{n-mq^t} e(\alpha(b + (m-1)q^t)) \sum_{c=0}^{m-1} \tau(b + cq^t) + R(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where $|R(\alpha)| \leq 2m^2q^t$. When $|\alpha q^t - aq^{t-1}| \leq \frac{1}{2}m^{-1}$,

$$|S(\alpha q^t)| = |S(\alpha q^t - aq^{t-1})| = \left| \frac{1 - e(m(\alpha q^t - aq^{t-1}))}{1 - e(\alpha q^t - aq^{t-1})} \right| \geq \frac{m}{\pi}.$$

Hence for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}_{a,q}$,

$$m|T(\alpha)| \leq \pi |S(\alpha q^t)T(\alpha)| \leq \pi \left| \sum_{b=1}^{n-mq^t} e(\alpha(b + (m-1)q^t)) \sum_{c=0}^{m-1} \tau(b + cq^t) \right| + \pi |R(\alpha)|.$$

Notice that

$$|\{1 \leq b \leq n - mq^t : x \in \mathbb{A}_m(b, q^t)\}| \leq m,$$

and the equality holds if $1 + (m-1)q^t \leq x \leq n - mq^t$. It follows that

$$m|A| \geq \sum_{b=1}^{n-mq^t} |A \cap \mathbb{A}_m(b, q^t)| = \sum_{x \in A} \sum_{b=1}^{n-mq^t} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{A}_m(b, q^t)}(x) \geq m|A| - 2m^2q^t,$$

whence

$$\left| \sum_{b=1}^{n-mq^t} (|A \cap \mathbb{A}_m(b, q^t)| - (\delta + \epsilon)m) \right| \leq \epsilon nm + (2 + \delta)m^2q^t.$$

By the assumption (3.1), we have

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq b \leq n-mq^t \\ |A \cap \mathbb{A}_m(b, q^t)| \geq (\delta + \epsilon)m}} (|A \cap \mathbb{A}_m(b, q^t)| - (\delta + \epsilon)m) \leq \epsilon n(1 - \delta)m.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{b=1}^{n-mq^t} ||A \cap \mathbb{A}_m(b, q^t)| - \delta m| &\leq \sum_{b=1}^{n-mq^t} ||A \cap \mathbb{A}_m(b, q^t)| - (\delta + \epsilon)m| + \epsilon nm \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq b \leq n-mq^t \\ |A \cap \mathbb{A}_m(b, q^t)| \geq (\delta + \epsilon)m}} (|A \cap \mathbb{A}_m(b, q^t)| - (\delta + \epsilon)m) \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{b=1}^{n-mq^t} (|A \cap \mathbb{A}_m(b, q^t)| - (\delta + \epsilon)m) \right| + \epsilon nm \\ &\leq 4\epsilon nm + 4m^2q^t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
|T(\alpha)| &\leq \frac{\pi}{m} \left(\left| \sum_{b=1}^{n-mq^t} e(\alpha(b + (m-1)q^t)) \sum_{c=0}^{m-1} \tau(b + cq^t) \right| + 2m^2q^t \right) \\
&\leq \frac{\pi}{m} \left(\sum_{b=1}^{n-mq^t} |A \cap \mathbb{A}_m(b, q^t)| - \delta m + 2m^2q^t \right) \\
&\leq 4\pi\epsilon n + 6\pi mQ^t,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\left| \sum_{x=1}^n \mathbf{1}_A(x) e(\alpha x) - \delta \sum_{x=1}^n e(\alpha x) \right| \leq 16\epsilon n.$$

It is easy to see that

$$||x|^2 - |y|^2| \leq ||x| - |y||^{\frac{2}{\rho}} (|x| + |y|)^{2-\frac{2}{\rho}} \leq 4|x-y|^{\frac{2}{\rho}} (|x|^{2-\frac{2}{\rho}} + |y|^{2-\frac{2}{\rho}})$$

for any $\rho \geq 2$. Let $\rho = k2^{k+3}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left(\left| \sum_{x=1}^n \mathbf{1}_A(x) e(\alpha x) \right|^2 - \delta^2 \left| \sum_{x=1}^n e(\alpha x) \right|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{z=1}^M \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \right) d\alpha \right| \\
&\leq 4(16\epsilon n)^{\frac{2}{\rho}} \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left(\left| \sum_{x=1}^n \mathbf{1}_A(x) e(\alpha x) \right|^{2-\frac{2}{\rho}} + \delta^{2-\frac{2}{\rho}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^n e(\alpha x) \right|^{2-\frac{2}{\rho}} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left| \sum_{z=1}^M \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \right| d\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^n \mathbf{1}_A(x) e(\alpha x) \right|^{2-\frac{2}{\rho}} \left| \sum_{z=1}^M \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \right| d\alpha \\
&\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^n \mathbf{1}_A(x) e(\alpha x) \right|^2 d\alpha \right)^{1-\frac{1}{\rho}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{z=1}^M \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \right|^\rho d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.9,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{z=1}^M \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \right|^\rho d\alpha \leq C_2 |a_{k-t+1}| \psi(M)^{\rho-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^n \mathbf{1}_A(x) e(\alpha x) \right|^{2-\frac{2}{\rho}} \left| \sum_{z=1}^M \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \right| d\alpha \\
&\leq C_2^{\frac{1}{\rho}} |a_{k-t+1}|^{\frac{1}{\rho}} (\delta n)^{1-\frac{1}{\rho}} n^{1-\frac{1}{\rho}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^n e(\alpha x) \right|^{2-\frac{2}{\rho}} \left| \sum_{z=1}^M \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \right| d\alpha \leq C_2^{\frac{1}{\rho}} |a_{k-t+1}|^{\frac{1}{\rho}} n^{2-\frac{2}{\rho}}.$$

It is concluded that

$$\left| \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left(\left| \sum_{x=1}^n \mathbf{1}_A(x) e(\alpha x) \right|^2 - \delta^2 \left| \sum_{x=1}^n e(\alpha x) \right|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{z=1}^M \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \right) d\alpha \right| \leq 8C_2^{\frac{1}{\rho}} |a_{k-t+1}|^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \epsilon^{\frac{2}{\rho}} (\delta^{1-\frac{1}{\rho}} + \delta^{2-\frac{2}{\rho}}) n^2.$$

Now we have shown that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\left| \sum_{x \leq n} \mathbf{1}_A e(\alpha x) \right|^2 - \delta^2 \left| \sum_{x \leq n} e(\alpha x) \right|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{z \leq M} \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \right) d\alpha \right| \\ & \leq (2C_1 |a_{k-t+1}| Q^{-\frac{1}{k(k+2)}} n + 5\pi m Q^t) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\left| \sum_{x \leq n} \mathbf{1}_A e(\alpha x) \right|^2 + \delta^2 \left| \sum_{x \leq n} e(\alpha x) \right|^2 \right) d\alpha \\ & \quad + 8C_2^{\frac{1}{\rho}} |a_{k-t+1}|^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \epsilon^{\frac{2}{\rho}} (\delta^{1-\frac{1}{\rho}} + \delta^{2-\frac{2}{\rho}}) n^2 \\ & \leq 4C_1 |a_{k-t+1}| Q^{-\frac{1}{k(k+2)}} \delta n^2 + \epsilon \delta n^2 + 16C_2^{\frac{1}{\rho}} |a_{k-t+1}|^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \epsilon^{\frac{2}{\rho}} \delta^{1-\frac{1}{\rho}} n^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^n e(\alpha x) \right|^2 \left(\sum_{z=1}^M \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \right) d\alpha \\ & = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x, y \leq n \\ 1 \leq z \leq M \\ x-y=\psi(z)}} \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) \\ & \geq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x, y \leq n \\ M/4+1 \leq z \leq M/2 \\ x-y=\psi(z)}} \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) \\ & \geq \frac{M}{8} (n - \psi(M/2)) \psi^\Delta(M/4). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^n \mathbf{1}_A(x) e(\alpha x) \right|^2 \left(\sum_{z=1}^M \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \right) d\alpha \\ & \geq \delta^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^n e(\alpha x) \right|^2 \left(\sum_{z=1}^M \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \right) d\alpha \\ & \quad - 4C_1 |a_{k-t+1}| Q^{-\frac{1}{k(k+2)}} \delta n^2 - \epsilon \delta n^2 - 16C_2^{\frac{1}{\rho}} |a_{k-t+1}|^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \epsilon^{\frac{2}{\rho}} \delta^{1-\frac{1}{\rho}} n^2 \\ & \geq \frac{k\delta^2 n^2}{4^{k+1}} - 4C_1 |a_{k-t+1}| Q^{-\frac{1}{k(k+2)}} \delta n^2 - \epsilon \delta n^2 - 16C_2^{\frac{1}{\rho}} |a_{k-t+1}|^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \epsilon^{\frac{2}{\rho}} \delta^{1-\frac{1}{\rho}} n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon = 4^{-(k+2)\rho} \delta^{\frac{\rho+1}{2}} C_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} |a_{k-t+1}|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$$Q = 4^{(k+1)^4} \delta^{-2k(k+2)} C_1^{k(k+2)} |a_{k-t+1}|^{k(k+2)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{(x, y, z) : x, y \in A, z \in \Lambda_{1,W}, x - y = \psi(z)\}| \\ & \geq \frac{W/\phi(W)}{\psi^\Delta(M) \log(WM+1)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{x=1}^n \mathbf{1}_A(x) e(\alpha x) \right|^2 \left(\sum_{z=1}^M \psi^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1,W}(z) e(\alpha \psi(z)) \right) d\alpha \\ & \geq \frac{W\delta^2}{4^{k+2}k\phi(W)} \cdot \frac{n^{1+\frac{1}{k}} a_1^{-\frac{1}{k}}}{\log n}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes our desired result. $\square$

Finally, let us briefly discuss the bound in Theorem 1.3. Let $R_{W,\psi}(\delta)$ be the least integer n such that for any $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, there exist $x, y \in A$ and $z \in \Lambda_{1,W}$ satisfying $x - y = \psi(z)$. In our proof, we choose $\epsilon = \epsilon(\delta) = O_{|a_{k-t}|}(\delta^{O_k(1)})$ and $Q = Q(\delta) = O_{|a_{k-t}|}(\delta^{-O_k(1)})$. So the iteration process $\delta \rightarrow \delta + \epsilon(\delta)$ will end after $O_{|a_{k-t}|}(\delta^{-O_k(1)})$ steps. Also, clearly for $\delta > 3/4$,

$$R_{W,\psi}(\delta) \ll (|a_1| + \dots + |a_{k-t}|)(\min\{p : p \in \Lambda_{1,W}\})^k.$$

Notice that when the iteration process ends, W will become $WQ^{O_{|a_{k-t}|}(\delta^{-O_k(1)})}$ and a_i will become $a_i Q^{O_{|a_{k-t}|}(\delta^{-O_k(1)})}$. Hence we have

$$R_{W,\psi}(\delta) \leq \exp(O_{W,a_1,\dots,a_{k-t}}(\delta^{-O_{|a_{k-t}|}(\delta^{-O_k(1)})})),$$

since $\min\{p : p \in \Lambda_{1,W}\} \leq e^{O(W)}$. In other words, if a subset $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ satisfies $|A| \geq O_{W,a_1,\dots,a_{k-t}}(n/\log \log \log n)$, then there exist $x, y \in A$ and $z \in \Lambda_{1,W}$ such that $x - y = \psi(z)$. Of course, this bound is very rough. And we believe that it could be improved using some more refined estimations (e.g. [18], [1], [16], [17], [20]).

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

Write $\psi(x) = a_1 x^k + a_2 x^{k-1} + \dots + a_{k-t+1} x^t$ where $a_{k-t+1} \neq 0$. Let $\delta = \bar{d}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$. Since $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) > 0$, there exist infinitely many n such that

$$|P \cap [1, n]| \geq \frac{4\delta}{5} \cdot \frac{n}{\log n}.$$

Define

$$w(n) = \max\{w \leq \log \log \log n : n \geq 16\mathcal{W}(w)N(\delta, \mathcal{W}(w), \psi_{\mathcal{W}(w)})\},$$

where $N(\delta, W, \psi)$ is same as the one defined in Theorem 3.1 and $\mathcal{W}(w) = \prod_{\substack{p \leq w \\ p \text{ prime}}} p$.

Clearly $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(n) = \infty$. Let $w = w(n)$ and $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(w)$. Then

$$\sum_{\substack{x \in P \cap [1, n] \\ (x, \mathcal{W})=1}} \log x \geq \sum_{x \in P \cap [n^{\frac{2}{3}}, n]} \log x \geq \frac{2 \log n}{3} (|P \cap [1, n]| - n^{\frac{2}{3}}) \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \cdot n.$$

Hence there exists $1 \leq b \leq \mathcal{W}^t$ with $(b, \mathcal{W}) = 1$ such that

$$\sum_{\substack{x \in P \cap [1, n] \\ x \equiv b \pmod{\mathcal{W}^t}}} \log x \geq \frac{\delta}{2\phi(\mathcal{W}^t)} \cdot n.$$

Let

$$A = \{(x - b)/\mathcal{W}^t : x \in P \cap [1, n], x \equiv b \pmod{\mathcal{W}^t}\}.$$

Let N be a prime in the interval $(2n/\mathcal{W}^t, 4n/\mathcal{W}^t]$. Define $\lambda_{b, \mathcal{W}^t, N} = \lambda_{b, \mathcal{W}^t}/N$ and $a = \mathbf{1}_A \lambda_{b, \mathcal{W}^t, N}$. Then

$$\sum_x a(x) \geq \frac{\phi(\mathcal{W}^t)}{\mathcal{W}^t N} \cdot \frac{\delta n}{2\phi(\mathcal{W}^t)} \geq \frac{\delta}{8}.$$

Let

$$\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(x) = \psi(\mathcal{W}x)/\mathcal{W}^t = a_1 \mathcal{W}^{k-t} x^k + \cdots + a_{k-t+1} x^t.$$

Clearly $\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)$ is positive and strictly increasing for $1 \leq z \leq M$, whenever $\mathcal{W}$ is sufficiently large.

Below we consider A as a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_N$. Let $M = \max\{z \in \mathbb{N} : \psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z) < N/2\}$. If $x, y \in A$ and $1 \leq z \leq M$ satisfy $x - y = \psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_N$, then we also have $x - y = \psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. In fact, since $1 \leq x, y < N/2$ and $1 \leq z \leq M$, it is impossible that $x - y = \psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z) - N$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. For a function $f : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, define

$$\tilde{f}(r) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} f(x) e(-xr/N).$$

Lemma 4.1 (Bourgain [4], [5] and Green [11]). *Suppose that $\rho > 2$. Then*

$$\sum_r |\tilde{a}(r)|^\rho \leq C(\rho),$$

where $C(\rho)$ is a constant only depending on ρ .

Proof. See [11, Lemma 6.6]. □

Lemma 4.2.

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left| \sum_{z=1}^M \psi_{\mathcal{W}}^\Delta(z-1) \lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}^t}(z) e(-\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)r/N) \right|^\rho \leq C'(\rho) |a_{k-t+1}| N^\rho.$$

provided that $\rho \geq k2^{k+3}$, where $C'(\rho)$ is a constant only depending on ρ .

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.10 since $\gcd(\psi_{\mathcal{W}}) \leq |a_{k-t+1}|$. □

Let η and ϵ be two positive real numbers to be chosen later. Let

$$R = \{r \in \mathbb{Z}_N : \tilde{a}(r) \geq \eta\}$$

and

$$B = \{r \in \mathbb{Z}_N : \|xr/N\| \leq \epsilon \text{ for all } r \in R\}.$$

Define $\beta = \mathbf{1}_B/|B|$ and $a' = a * \beta * \beta$, where

$$f * g(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} f(y)g(x - y).$$

Let $\varrho = k2^{k+3}$.

Lemma 4.3.

$$\sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ 1 \leq z \leq M \\ x-y=\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)}} (a'(x)a'(y) - a(x)a(y))\psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1)\lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}}(z) \leq C(\epsilon^2\eta^{-\frac{5}{2}} + \eta^{\frac{1}{e}}).$$

Proof. It is not difficult to check that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ 1 \leq z \leq M \\ x-y=\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)}} a(x)a(y)\psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1)\lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}}(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \tilde{a}(r)\tilde{a}(-r) \left(\sum_{z=1}^M \psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1)\lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}}(z)e(-\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)r/N) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also, it is easy to see that $(f * g)^{\sim} = \tilde{f}\tilde{g}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ 1 \leq z \leq M \\ x-y=\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)}} a'(x)a'(y)\psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1)\lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}}(z) - \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ 1 \leq z \leq M \\ x-y=\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)}} a(x)a(y)\psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1)\lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}}(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \tilde{a}(r)\tilde{a}(-r)(\tilde{\beta}(r)^2\tilde{\beta}(-r)^2 - 1) \left(\sum_{z=1}^M \psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1)\lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}}(z)e(-\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)r/N) \right). \end{aligned}$$

If $r \in R$, then by the proof of Lemma 6.7 of [11], we know that

$$|\tilde{\beta}(r)^2\tilde{\beta}(-r)^2 - 1| \leq 2^{16}\epsilon^2.$$

And applying Lemma 2.2 with $\alpha = a = q = 1$,

$$\sum_{z=1}^M \psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1)\lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}}(z) = \sum_{z=1}^M \psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1) + O(\psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(M)Me^{-c\sqrt{\log M}}) \leq 2\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(M).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{r \in R} \tilde{a}(r)\tilde{a}(-r)(\tilde{\beta}(r)^2\tilde{\beta}(-r)^2 - 1) \left(\sum_{z=1}^M \psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1)\lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}}(z)e(-\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)r/N) \right) \right| \\ & \leq 2^{16}\epsilon^2 \sum_{r \in R} |\tilde{a}(r)|^2 \cdot \left| \sum_{z=1}^M \psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1)\lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}}(z)e(-\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)r/N) \right| \\ & \leq 2^{17}\epsilon^2\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(M)|R|. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 4.1 with $\rho = 5/2$, we have $|R| \leq C''\eta^{-\frac{5}{2}}$. On the other hand, by Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{r \notin R} \tilde{a}(r) \tilde{a}(-r) (\tilde{\beta}(r)^2 \tilde{\beta}(-r)^2 - 1) \left(\sum_{z=1}^M \psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1) \lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}}(z) e(-\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)r/N) \right) \right| \\ & \leq 2 \sup_{r \notin R} |\tilde{a}(r)|^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} \left(\sum_{r \notin R} |\tilde{a}(r)|^{\frac{2\varrho-1}{\varrho-1}} \right)^{\frac{\varrho-1}{\varrho}} \left(\sum_{r \notin R} \left| \sum_{z=1}^M \psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1) \lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}}(z) e(-\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)r/N) \right|^{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} \\ & \leq 2\eta^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} \cdot C((2\varrho-1)/(\varrho-1))^{1-\frac{1}{\varrho}} \cdot (|a_{k-t+1}|C'(\varrho))^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} N, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we apply Lemma 4.1 with $\rho = (2\varrho-1)/(\varrho-1)$ and Lemma 4.2 with $\rho = \varrho$. All are done. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *If $\epsilon^{|R|} \geq 2 \log \log w/w$, then $|a'(x)| \leq 2/N$ for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$.*

Proof. See [11, Lemma 6.3]. $\square$

Let $A' = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N : a'(x) \geq \frac{1}{16}\delta N^{-1}\}$. Then

$$\frac{2}{N}|A'| + \frac{\delta}{16N}(N - |A'|) \geq \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} a'(x) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} a(x) \geq \frac{\delta}{8},$$

whence $|A'|/N \geq \delta/32$. Let $A'_1 = A' \cap [1, (N-1)/2]$ and

$$A'_2 = \{x - (N-1)/2 : x \in A' \cap [(N+1)/2, N-1]\}.$$

Clearly there exists $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $|A'_i|/N \geq \delta/64$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $|A'_1|/N \geq \delta/64$. Applying Theorem 3.1, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{(x, y, z) : x, y \in A'_1, z \in \Lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}} \cap [1, M], x - y = \psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)\}| \\ & \geq c(\delta/64, a_{k-t+1}) \frac{\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}(N/2)^{1+\frac{1}{k}} (a_1 \mathcal{W}^{k-t})^{-\frac{1}{k}}}{\phi(\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}) \log N}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $c' = \frac{1}{16k}c(\delta/64, a_{k-t+1})$. Clearly

$$|\{(x, y, z) : x, y \in A'_1, z \in \Lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}} \cap [1, c'M], x - y = \psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)\}| \leq \frac{\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}(c'M)}{\phi(\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}) \log M} N.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{(x, y, z) : x, y \in A'_1, z \in \Lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}^t \mathcal{W}} \cap (c'M, M], x - y = \psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)\}| \\ & \geq \frac{c(\delta/64, a_{k-t+1})}{8} \frac{\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W} N^{1+\frac{1}{k}} (a_1 \mathcal{W}^{k-t})^{-\frac{1}{k}}}{\phi(\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}) \log N}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{x, y \in A'_1 \\ 1 \leq z \leq M \\ x-y=\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)}} \psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1) \lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}W}(z) \\
& \geq \frac{c(\delta/64, a_{k-t+1})}{8} \frac{\mathcal{W}W N^{1+\frac{1}{k}} (a_1 \mathcal{W}^{k-t})^{-\frac{1}{k}}}{\phi(\mathcal{W}W) \log N} \cdot \frac{\psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(c'M) \phi(\mathcal{W}W) \log M}{2\mathcal{W}W} \\
& \geq \frac{c(\delta/64, a_{k-t+1}) c'^{k-1}}{64} N^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ 1 \leq z \leq M \\ x-y=\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)}} a(x) a(y) \psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1) \lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}W}(z) \\
& \geq \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ 1 \leq z \leq M \\ x-y=\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)}} a'(x) a'(y) \psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1) \lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}W}(z) - C(\epsilon^2 \eta^{-\frac{5}{2}} + \eta^{\frac{1}{e}}) \\
& \geq \frac{\delta^2}{2^8 N^2} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in A'_1 \\ 1 \leq z \leq M \\ x-y=\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)}} \psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1) \lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}W}(z) - C(\epsilon^2 \eta^{-\frac{5}{2}} + \eta^{\frac{1}{e}}) \\
& \geq c''(\delta, a_{k-t+1}) - C(\epsilon^2 \eta^{-\frac{5}{2}} + \eta^{\frac{1}{e}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we may choose $\eta, \epsilon > 0$ satisfying $\epsilon^{C'' \eta^{-5/2}} \geq 2 \log \log w / w$ such that $C(\epsilon^2 \eta^{-\frac{5}{2}} + \eta^{\frac{1}{e}}) < c''(\delta, a_{k-t+1})/2$, whenever w is sufficiently large. Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ 1 \leq z \leq M \\ x-y=\psi_{\mathcal{W}}(z)}} a(x) a(y) \psi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\Delta}(z-1) \lambda_{1, \mathcal{W}W}(z) \geq \frac{c''(\delta, a_{k-t+1})}{2} > 0$$

for sufficiently large N . □

APPENDIX: EXPONENTIAL SUMS ON POLYNOMIALS OF PRIME VARIABLES

Lemma 4.5.

$$\sum_{x=1}^N d_k^2(x) \ll N (\log N)^{k^2-1}, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$d_k(x) = |\{(a_1, \dots, a_k) : a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, a_1 \cdots a_k = x\}|.$$

Let $K = 2^{k-1}$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\psi(x) = a_1x^k + a_2x^{k-1} + \cdots + a_kx$ be a polynomial with real coefficients and $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then*

$$\sum_{1 \leq x \leq V} e(\alpha\psi(x)) \ll V^{1-\frac{k}{K}} \left(V^{k-1} + V^{\frac{k}{2}} (\log V)^{\frac{k^2-2k}{2}} \left(\sum_{y=1}^{V^{k-1}} \min\{V, \|\alpha k! a_1 y\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{K}} \quad (4.2)$$

for any real α . In particular, if $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ with $(a, q) = 1$, then

$$\sum_{1 \leq x \leq V} e(\alpha\psi(x)) \ll V \left(V^{-\frac{1}{K}} + a_1^{\frac{1}{2K}} (\log(a_1 q V))^{\frac{(k-1)^2}{2K}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{V} + \frac{q}{a_1 V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2K}} \right). \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. Define the intervals $I_j(V; h_1, \dots, h_j)$ by $I_1(V; h_1) = [1, V] \cap [1 - h_1, V - h_1]$ and

$$I_{j+1}(V; h_1, \dots, h_{j+1}) = I_j(V; h_1, \dots, h_j) \cap \{x : x + h_{j+1} \in I_j(V; h_1, \dots, h_j)\}.$$

For $j \geq 1$, we know (cf.[27][Lemma 2.3]) that

$$\left| \sum_{1 \leq x \leq V} e(\alpha\psi(x)) \right|^{2^j} \leq (2V)^{2^j - j - 1} \sum_{-V < h_1, \dots, h_j < V} T_j(V; h_1, \dots, h_j),$$

where

$$T_j(V; h_1, \dots, h_j) = \sum_{x \in I_j(V; h_1, \dots, h_j)} e(\Delta_j(\alpha\psi(x); h_1, \dots, h_j)).$$

In particular,

$$T_{k-1}(V; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}) = \sum_{x \in I_{k-1}(V; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})} e(\alpha h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} g_{k-1}(x; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})),$$

where

$$g_{k-1}(x; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}) = k! a_1 (x + (h_1 + \cdots + h_{k-1})/2) + (k-1)! a_2.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq x \leq V} e(\alpha \psi(x)) \\
& \ll V^{1-\frac{k}{K}} \left(\sum_{-V < h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} < V} \left| \sum_{1 \leq x \leq V} e(\alpha k! a_1 h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} x) \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{K}} \\
& \ll V^{1-\frac{k}{K}} \left(V^{k-1} + \sum_{\substack{-V < h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} < V \\ h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} \neq 0}} \min\{V, \|\alpha k! a_1 h_1 \cdots h_{k-1}\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{K}} \\
& \leq V^{1-\frac{k}{K}} \left(V^{k-1} + \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V^{k-1}} d_{k-1}(y) \min\{V, \|\alpha k! a_1 y\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{K}} \\
& \leq V^{1-\frac{k}{K}} \left(V^{k-1} + \left(\sum_{1 \leq y \leq V^{k-1}} d_{k-1}(y)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq y \leq V^{k-1}} \min\{V, \|\alpha k! a_1 y\|^{-1}\}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{K}} \\
& \ll V^{1-\frac{k}{K}} \left(V^{k-1} + V^{\frac{k}{2}} (\log V)^{\frac{k^2-2k}{2}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq y \leq V^{k-1}} \min\{V, \|\alpha k! a_1 y\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{K}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ with $(a, q) = 1$, then by Lemma 2.2 of [27], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \leq y \leq V^{k-1}} \min\{V, \|\alpha k! a_1 y\|^{-1}\} & \leq \sum_{y=1}^{k! a_1 V^{k-1}} \min\{k! a_1 V^k / y, \|\alpha y\|^{-1}\} \\
& \ll k! a_1 V^k \log(2k! a_1 V^k q) \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{V} + \frac{q}{k! a_1 V^k} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We are done. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose that $\psi(x, y) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq k+1} a_{ij} x^{k-i+1} y^{k-j+1}$ is a polynomial with real coefficients. Suppose that $a_{11} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $a_{12} = 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq x \leq U} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V} e(\alpha \psi(x, y)) \right| \\
& \ll UV \left(U^{-\frac{1}{K^2}} + V^{-\frac{1}{K^2}} + a_{11}^{\frac{1}{4K^2}} (\log(a_{11} q UV))^{\frac{3k^2-2k+1}{4K^2}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{U} + \frac{q}{a_{11} U^k V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{4K^2}} \right) \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

provided that $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ with $(a, q) = 1$.

Proof. Write $\psi(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \psi_j(x) y^{k-j+1}$. Then by Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq x \leq U} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V} e(\alpha \psi(x, y)) \right| \\
& \leq U^{1-\frac{1}{K}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq x \leq U} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V} e(\alpha \psi(x, y)) \right|^K \right)^{\frac{1}{K}} \\
& \ll U^{1-\frac{1}{K}} V^{1-\frac{k}{K}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq x \leq U} \sum_{\substack{|h_1|, \dots, |h_{k-1}| \leq V \\ y \in I_{k-1}(V; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})}} e(\alpha h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} g_{k-1}(x, y; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})) \right)^{\frac{1}{K}} \\
& \leq U^{1-\frac{1}{K}} V^{1-\frac{k}{K^2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{|h_1|, \dots, |h_{k-1}| \leq V \\ y \in I_{k-1}(V; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})}} \left| \sum_{1 \leq x \leq U} e(\alpha h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} g_{k-1}(x, y; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})) \right|^K \right)^{\frac{1}{K^2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{k-1}(x, y; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}) \\
& = k! \psi_1(x) (y + (h_1 + \cdots + h_{k-1})/2) + (k-1)! \psi_2(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\deg \psi_2 \leq k-1$ since $a_{12} = 0$. Thus applying Lemma 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{1 \leq x \leq U} e(\alpha h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} g_{k-1}(x, y; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})) \right|^K \\
& \ll U^{K-k} \left(U^{k-1} + U^{\frac{k}{2}} (\log U)^{\frac{k^2-2k}{2}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq z \leq U^{k-1}} \min\{U, \|\alpha(k!)^2 a_{11} h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} y z\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

provided that $h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} \neq 0$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{|h_1|, \dots, |h_{k-1}| \leq V \\ y \in I_{k-1}(V; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})}} \left| \sum_{1 \leq x \leq U} e(\alpha h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} g_{k-1}(x, y; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})) \right|^K \\
& \ll U^K V^{k-1} + \sum_{\substack{|h_1|, \dots, |h_{k-1}| \leq V \\ h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} \neq 0 \\ y \in I_{k-1}(V; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})}} \left| \sum_{1 \leq x \leq U} e(\alpha h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} g_{k-1}(x, y; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})) \right|^K \\
& \ll (\log U)^{\frac{k^2-2k}{2}} U^{K-\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{\substack{|h_1|, \dots, |h_{k-1}| \leq V \\ h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} \neq 0 \\ y \in I_{k-1}(V; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq z \leq U^{k-1}} \min\{U, \|\alpha(k!)^2 a_{11} h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} y z\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + U^{K-1} V^k + U^K V^{k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{|h_1|, \dots, |h_{k-1}| \leq V \\ h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} \neq 0 \\ y \in I_{k-1}(V; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq z \leq U^{k-1}} \min\{U, \|\alpha(k!)^2 a_{11} h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} y z\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \ll V^{\frac{k}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{|h_1|, \dots, |h_{k-1}| \leq V \\ h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} \neq 0 \\ 1 \leq y \leq V}} \sum_{1 \leq z \leq U^{k-1}} \min\{U, \|\alpha(k!)^2 a_{11} h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} y z\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \ll V^{\frac{k}{2}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq z \leq U^{k-1} V^k} d_{k+1}(z) \min\{U, \|\alpha(k!)^2 a_{11} z\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \ll V^{\frac{k}{2}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq z \leq U^{k-1} V^k} d_{k+1}(z)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq z \leq U^{k-1} V^k} \min\{U, \|\alpha(k!)^2 a_{11} z\|^{-1}\}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& \ll V^{\frac{3k}{4}} U^{\frac{k}{4}} (\log(UV))^{\frac{k^2+2k}{4}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq z \leq (k!)^2 a_{11} U^{k-1} V^k} \min\{(k!)^2 a_{11} U^k V^k / z, \|\alpha z\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& \ll V^{\frac{3k}{4}} U^{\frac{k}{4}} (\log(UV))^{\frac{k^2+2k}{4}} \cdot a_{11}^{\frac{1}{4}} U^{\frac{k}{4}} V^{\frac{k}{4}} (\log(a_{11} q UV))^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{U} + \frac{q}{(k!)^2 a_{11} U^k V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

All are done. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose that $\psi(x, y) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq k+1} a_{ij} x^{k-i+1} y^{k-j+1}$ is a polynomial with real coefficients. Suppose that $a_{11} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $a_{12} = 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{U \leq x \leq 2U} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V/x} e(\alpha \psi(x, y)) \right| \\
& \ll V \left(U^{\frac{1}{K}} V^{-\frac{1}{K}} + U^{-\frac{1}{K^2}} + a_{11}^{\frac{1}{4K^2}} (\log(a_{11} q UV))^{\frac{3k^2-2k+1}{4K^2}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{U} + \frac{q}{a_{11} V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{4K^2}} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

provided that $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ with $(a, q) = 1$.

Proof. Write $\psi(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \psi_j(x) y^{k-j+1}$. And let

$$T_{k-1}(x, Q; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}) = \sum_{y \in I_{k-1}(Q; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})} e(\alpha h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} g_{k-1}(x, y; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{k-1}(x, y; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}) \\
& = k! \psi_1(x) (y + (h_1 + \cdots + h_{k-1})/2) + (k-1)! \psi_2(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{U \leq x \leq 2U} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V/x} e(\alpha \psi(x, y)) \right| \\
& \leq U^{1-\frac{1}{K}} \left(\sum_{U \leq x \leq 2U} (V/x)^{K-k} \sum_{|h_1|, \dots, |h_{k-1}| \leq V/x} T_{k-1}(x, \lfloor V/x \rfloor; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}) \right)^{\frac{1}{K}} \\
& \leq U^{1-\frac{1}{K}} V^{1-\frac{k}{K}} \left(\sum_{|h_1|, \dots, |h_{k-1}| \leq V/U} \sum_{\substack{U \leq x \leq 2U \\ x \leq \min_{1 \leq i < k} V/|h_i|}} x^{k-K} T_{k-1}(x, \lfloor V/x \rfloor; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}) \right)^{\frac{1}{K}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By an induction on j , it is not difficult to prove that $I_j(Q_1; h_1, \dots, h_j) \subseteq I_j(Q_2; h_1, \dots, h_j)$ if $Q_1 \leq Q_2$. Hence for any y , the set

$$\bar{I}(y; h_1, \dots, h_j) = \{x : y \in I_j(\lfloor V/x \rfloor; h_1, \dots, h_j)\}$$

is exactly an interval. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{U \leq x \leq 2U \\ 1 \leq x \leq \min_{1 \leq i < k} V/|h_i|}} x^{k-K} T_{k-1}(x; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}) \\
& = \sum_{y \in I_{k-1}(V/U; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})} \sum_{\substack{U \leq x \leq 2U \\ 1 \leq x \leq \min_{1 \leq i < k} V/|h_i| \\ x \in \bar{I}(y; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})}} x^{k-K} e(\alpha h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} g_{k-1}(x, y; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.6 we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x=Q_1}^{Q_2} x^{k-K} e(\alpha h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} g_{k-1}(x, y; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})) \\
& = Q_2^{k-K} \sum_{x=1}^{Q_2} e(\alpha h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} g_{k-1}(x, y; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})) \\
& \quad - Q_1^{k-K} \sum_{x=1}^{Q_1-1} e(\alpha h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} g_{k-1}(x, y; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})) \\
& \quad - \sum_{X=Q_1}^{Q_2-1} ((X+1)^{k-K} - X^{k-K}) \sum_{x=1}^X e(\alpha h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} g_{k-1}(x, y; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})) \\
& \ll Q_1^{k-K-1} Q_2^{2-\frac{k}{K}} \left(Q_2^{k-1} + Q_2^{\frac{k}{2}} (\log Q_2)^{\frac{k^2-2k}{2}} \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \left. \left(\sum_{z=1}^{Q_2^{k-1}} \min\{Q_2, \|\alpha(k!)^2 a_{11} h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} y z\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{K}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{|h_1|, \dots, |h_{k-1}| \leq V/U} \sum_{\substack{U \leq x \leq 2U \\ 1 \leq x \leq \min_{1 \leq i < k} V/|h_i|}} x^{k-K} T_{k-1}(x; h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}) \\
& \ll V^{k-1} U^{2-K} + U^{k-K+1-\frac{k}{K}} \sum_{\substack{|h_1|, \dots, |h_{k-1}| \leq V/U \\ h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} \neq 0}} \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V/U} \left(U^{k-1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + U^{\frac{k}{2}} (\log U)^{\frac{k^2-2k}{2}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq z \leq (2U)^{k-1}} \min\{2U, \|\alpha(k!)^2 a_{11} h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} y z\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{K}} \\
& \leq V^{k-1} U^{2-K} + U^{1-K} V^{k-\frac{k}{K}} \left(V^k U^{-1} + U^{\frac{k}{2}} (\log U)^{\frac{k^2-2k}{2}} \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \sum_{\substack{|h_1|, \dots, |h_{k-1}| \leq V/U \\ h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} \neq 0}} \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V/U} \left(\sum_{1 \leq z \leq (2U)^{k-1}} \min\{2U, \|\alpha(k!)^2 a_{11} h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} y z\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big)^{\frac{1}{K}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{|h_1|, \dots, |h_{k-1}| \leq V/U \\ h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} \neq 0}} \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V/U} \left(\sum_{1 \leq z \leq (2U)^{k-1}} \min\{2U, \|\alpha(k!)^2 a_{11} h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} y z\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \ll V^{\frac{k}{2}} U^{-\frac{k}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{|h_1|, \dots, |h_{k-1}| \leq V/U \\ h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} \neq 0}} \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V/U} \sum_{1 \leq z \leq (2U)^{k-1}} \min\{2U, \|\alpha(k!)^2 a_{11} h_1 \cdots h_{k-1} y z\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq V^{\frac{k}{2}} U^{-\frac{k}{2}} \left(\sum_{z=1}^{2^{k-1} V^k U^{-1}} d_{k+1}(z) \min\{2U, \|\alpha(k!)^2 a_{11} z\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \ll V^{\frac{k}{2}} U^{-\frac{k}{2}} \cdot V^{\frac{k}{4}} (\log(V^k U^{-1}))^{\frac{k^2+2k}{4}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq z \leq 2^{k-1} V^k U^{-1}} \min\{2U, \|\alpha(k!)^2 a_{11} z\|^{-1}\} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& \ll V^{\frac{3k}{4}} U^{-\frac{k}{2}} (\log(VU^{-1}))^{\frac{k^2+2k}{4}} \cdot a_{11}^{\frac{1}{4}} V^{\frac{k}{4}} (\log(a_{11} q V))^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{U} + \frac{q}{a_{11} V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{U \leq x \leq 2U} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V/x} e(\alpha \psi(x, y)) \right| \\
& \ll U^{1-\frac{1}{K}} V^{1-\frac{1}{K}} \left(V^{k-1} U^{2-K} + U^{1-K} V^{k-\frac{K}{2}} \left(V^k U^{-1} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + a_{11}^{\frac{1}{4}} V^k (\log(a_{11} q UV))^{\frac{3k^2-2k+1}{4}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{U} + \frac{q}{a_{11} V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{K}} \right)^{\frac{1}{K}} \\
& \ll V \left(U^{\frac{1}{K}} V^{-\frac{1}{K}} + U^{-\frac{1}{K^2}} + a_{11}^{\frac{1}{4K^2}} (\log(a_{11} q UV))^{\frac{3k^2-2k+1}{4K^2}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{U} + \frac{q}{a_{11} V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{4K^2}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

From Lemma 4.8, it is easily derived that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{U_1 \leq x \leq U_2} \sum_{y \leq V/x} e(\alpha \psi(x, y)) \\
& \ll V \log U_2 \left(U_2^{\frac{1}{K}} V^{-\frac{1}{K}} + U_1^{-\frac{1}{K^2}} + a_{11}^{\frac{1}{4K^2}} (\log(a_{11} q U_2 V))^{\frac{3k^2-2k+1}{4K^2}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{U_1} + \frac{q}{a_{11} V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{4K^2}} \right). \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose that $\psi(x, y) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq k+1} a_{ij} x^{k-i+1} y^{k-j+1}$ is a polynomial with real coefficients and $a_{11}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{k+1,1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $a_{11}x^k + a_{21}x^{k-1} + \dots + a_{k+1,1} \neq 0$ for each $1 \leq x \leq U$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq x \leq U} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V} e(\alpha \psi(x, y)) \right| \\
& \ll_{\epsilon} UV \left(V^{-\frac{1}{K}} U^{\frac{1}{K}} + a_{*}^{\frac{1}{2K}} U^{\frac{k}{2K}} (\log(a_{*} q U^k V))^{\frac{(k-1)^2}{2K}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{U}{V} + \frac{q}{V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2K}} \right) \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

provided that $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ with $(a, q) = 1$, where $a_{*} = |a_{11}| + |a_{21}| + \dots + |a_{k+1,1}|$.

Proof. Write $\psi(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \psi_j(x) y^{k-j+1}$. Then by Lemma 4.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq x \leq U} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V} e(\alpha \psi(x, y)) \right| \\
& \ll \sum_{1 \leq x \leq U} V \left(V^{-\frac{1}{K}} + |\psi_1(x)|^{\frac{1}{2K}} (\log(|\psi_1(x)| q V))^{\frac{(k-1)^2}{2K}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{V} + \frac{q}{|\psi_1(x)| V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2K}} \right) \\
& \ll UV \left(V^{-\frac{1}{K}} + a_{*}^{\frac{1}{2K}} U^{\frac{k}{2K}} (\log(a_{*} q U^k V))^{\frac{(k-1)^2}{2K}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{V} + \frac{q}{V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2K}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose that $\psi(x, y) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq k+1} a_{ij} x^{k-i+1} y^{k-j+1}$ is a polynomial with real coefficients and $a_{11}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{k+1,1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $a_{11}x^k + a_{21}x^{k-1} + \dots + a_{k+1,1} \neq 0$ for each $1 \leq x \leq U$, then*

$$\sum_{1 \leq x \leq U} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V/x} e(\alpha \psi(x, y)) \right| \ll V(\log U) \left(V^{-\frac{1}{K}} U^{\frac{1}{K}} + a_*^{\frac{1}{2K}} U^{\frac{k}{2K}} (\log(a_* q U^k V))^{\frac{(k-1)^2}{2K}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{U}{V} + \frac{q}{V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2K}} \right) \quad (4.8)$$

provided that $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ with $(a, q) = 1$, where $a_* = |a_{11}| + |a_{21}| + \dots + |a_{k+1,1}|$.

Proof. Write $\psi(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \psi_j(x) y^{k-j+1}$. Now $\psi_1(x)$ is a polynomial with integral coefficients. Hence by Lemma 4.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq x \leq U} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V/x} e(\alpha \psi(x, y)) \right| \\ & \ll \sum_{1 \leq x \leq U} V x^{-1} \left(V^{-\frac{1}{K}} x^{\frac{1}{K}} + |\psi_1(x)|^{\frac{1}{2K}} (\log(|\psi_1(x)| q V))^{\frac{(k-1)^2}{2K}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{x}{V} + \frac{q x^k}{|\psi_1(x)| V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2K}} \right) \\ & \ll V(\log U) \left(V^{-\frac{1}{K}} U^{\frac{1}{K}} + a_*^{\frac{1}{2K}} U^{\frac{k}{2K}} (\log(a_* q U^k V))^{\frac{(k-1)^2}{2K}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{U}{V} + \frac{q}{V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2K}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.11. *Let $\psi(x) = a_1 x^k + a_2 x^{k-1} \dots + a_k x$ be a polynomial with integral coefficients and $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let $A \geq 1$ and $B > 32k^2(k^2 + K^2)A$. Suppose that $1 \leq W, a_1 \leq (\log V)^A$ and $1 \leq U \leq V^{1-\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then for any integer b and $1 \leq c, c' \leq W$ with $cc' \equiv b \pmod{W}$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq U \\ x \equiv c \pmod{W}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq V/x \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha \psi((xy - b)/W)) \right| \ll_{A,B} V(\log V)^{-\frac{B}{16k^2 K^2}} \quad (4.9)$$

provided that $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ with $(\log V)^B \leq q \leq \psi(V)(\log V)^{-B}$ and $(a, q) = 1$.

Proof. Let

$$U_* = \min\{q^{\frac{1}{2k^2}}, (2V^k/W^k q)^{\frac{1}{2k^2}}, U\}.$$

Apparently $U_* \geq \min\{(\log V)^{\frac{B-(k+1)A}{2k^2}}, U\}$ and

$$U_* \leq (q \cdot 2V^k/W^k q)^{\frac{1}{4k^2}} \ll V^{\frac{1}{4k}}.$$

Rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq U \\ x \equiv c \pmod{W}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq V/x \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha \psi((xy - b)/W)) \right| \\ & = \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq U_* \\ x \equiv c \pmod{W}}} + \sum_{\substack{U_* < x \leq U \\ x \equiv c \pmod{W}}} \right) \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq V/x \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha \psi((xy - b)/W)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq U_* \\ x \equiv c \pmod{W}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq V/x \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha\psi((xy - b)/W)) \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{W} \sum_{j=1}^W \sum_{1 \leq x \leq U_*} e(j(x - c)/W) \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V/Wx} e(\alpha\psi((x(Wy + c') - b)/W)) + O(1) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{1 \leq x \leq U_*} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq (V/W)/x} e(\alpha\psi(xy + (xc' - b)/W)) \right| + O(U_*).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.10,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq x \leq U_*} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq (V/W)/x} e(\alpha\psi(xy + (xc' - b)/W)) \right| \\
&\ll V \log U_* \left(V^{-\frac{1}{K}} W^{\frac{1}{K}} U_*^{\frac{1}{K}} + a_1^{\frac{1}{2K}} U_*^{\frac{k}{2K}} (\log(a_1 q V W^{-1} U_*))^{\frac{(k-1)^2}{2K}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{U_*}{V} + \frac{q W^k}{V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2K}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

If $U_* = U$, then $U \leq (\log V)^{\frac{B-(k+1)A}{2k^2}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& V \log U_* \left(V^{-\frac{1}{K}} W^{\frac{1}{K}} U_*^{\frac{1}{K}} + a_1^{\frac{1}{2K}} U_*^{\frac{k}{2K}} (\log(a_1 q V W^{-1} U_*))^{\frac{(k-1)^2}{2K}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{U_*}{V} + \frac{q W^k}{V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2K}} \right) \\
&\ll V \log U (V^{-\frac{1}{K}} W^{\frac{1}{K}} U^{\frac{1}{K}} + a_1^{\frac{1}{2K}} (\log V)^{\frac{(k-1)^2}{2K}} (U^{\frac{k}{2K}} q^{-\frac{1}{2K}} + U^{\frac{k+1}{2K}} V^{-\frac{1}{2K}} + (\log V)^{\frac{(2k^2+k)A-(2k-1)B}{4kK}})) \\
&\ll_{A,B} V (\log V)^{1+\frac{(k-1)^2+(k+2)A}{2K}-\frac{(2k-1)B}{4kK}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Below we assume that $U_* < U$, then $(\log V)^{\frac{B-(k+1)A}{2k^2}} \ll U_* \ll V^{\frac{1}{4k}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& V \log U_* \left(V^{-\frac{1}{K}} W^{\frac{1}{K}} U_*^{\frac{1}{K}} + a_1^{\frac{1}{2K}} U_*^{\frac{k}{2K}} (\log(a_1 q V W^{-1} U_*))^{\frac{(k-1)^2}{2K}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{U_*}{V} + \frac{q W^k}{V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2K}} \right) \\
&\ll V \log V (V^{-\frac{1}{K}} W^{\frac{1}{K}} U_*^{\frac{1}{K}} + a_1^{\frac{1}{2K}} (\log V)^{\frac{(k-1)^2}{2K}} (U_*^{\frac{k}{2K}} q^{-\frac{1}{2K}} + U_*^{\frac{k+1}{2K}} V^{-\frac{1}{2K}} + U_*^{\frac{k-2k^2}{2K}})) \\
&\ll_{A,B} V (\log V)^{1+\frac{(k-1)^2+(k+2)A}{2K}-\frac{(2k-1)B}{4kK}}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{U_* < x \leq U \\ x \equiv c \pmod{W}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq V/x \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha\psi((xy - b)/W)) \right| \\
&= \sum_{(U_* - c)/W < x \leq (U - c)/W} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq V/(Wx + c) \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha\psi(xy + (yc - b)/W)) \right| \\
&= \sum_{(U_* - c)/W < x \leq (U - c)/W} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq V/Wx \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha\psi(xy + (yc - b)/W)) + O(V/W^2 x^2) \right| \\
&= \sum_{(U_* - c)/W < x \leq (U - c)/W} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq V/Wx \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha\psi(xy + (yc - b)/W)) \right| + O(V/WU_*).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(U_* - c)/W < x \leq (U - c)/W} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq V/Wx \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha\psi(xy + (yc - b)/W)) \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{W} \sum_{(U_* - c)/W < x \leq (U - c)/W} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V/Wx} e(\alpha\psi(xy + (yc - b)/W)) \sum_{j=1}^W e((y - c')j/W) \right| \\
&\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq W} \sum_{(U_* - c)/W < x \leq (U - c)/W} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V/Wx} e(\alpha\psi(xy + (yc - b)/W + (y - c')j/W)) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by Lemma 4.8,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(U_* - c)/W < x \leq (U - c)/W} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq (V/W)/x} e(\alpha\psi(xy + (yc - b)/W + (y - c')j/W)) \right| \\
&\ll VW^{-1} \log(UW^{-1}) \left(U^{\frac{1}{K}} V^{-\frac{1}{K}} + U_*^{-\frac{1}{K^2}} W^{\frac{1}{K^2}} + a_1^{\frac{1}{4K^2}} (\log V)^{\frac{3k^2 - 2k + 1}{4K^2}} U_*^{-\frac{1}{4K^2}} W^{\frac{1}{4K^2}} \right) \\
&\ll_{A,B} V (\log V)^{1 + \frac{3k^2 - 2k + 1 + 3A}{4K^2} - \frac{B}{8k^2 K^2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.12. *Let $\psi(x) = a_1 x^k + a_2 x^{k_1} \cdots + a_k x$ be a polynomial with integral coefficients and $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let $A \geq 1$ and $B > 16k^3 A$. Suppose that $1 \leq W, a_1 \leq (\log(UV))^A$. Let $g(x)$ be a polynomial with the degree at most k satisfying that the coefficient of x^k in $g(Wx)$ is an integer. Then for any integer b and $1 \leq c, c' \leq W$ with $cc' \equiv b \pmod{W}$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq U \\ x \equiv c \pmod{W}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq V \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha(\psi((xy - b)/W) + g(y))) \right| \ll_{A,B} UV (\log(UV))^{-\frac{B}{16kK^2}} \quad (4.10)$$

provided that $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ with $(\log V)^B \leq q \leq \psi(V)(\log V)^{-B}$ and $(a, q) = 1$.

Proof. Suppose that $U \geq (\log V)^{\frac{B}{2K}}$. Then by Lemma 4.7,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq U \\ x \equiv c \pmod{W}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq V \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha(\psi((xy - b)/W) + g(y))) \right| \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq x \leq (U-c)/W+1} \frac{1}{W} \left| \sum_{j=1}^W \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V} e(\alpha(\psi(xy - (W-c)y/W - b/W) + g(y)) + j(y - c')/W) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{W} \sum_{j=1}^W \sum_{1 \leq x \leq (U-c)/W+1} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq V} e(\alpha(\psi(xy - (W-c)y/W - b/W) + g(y)) + j(y - c')/W) \right| \\
&\ll UV \left(U^{-\frac{1}{K^2}} W^{\frac{1}{K^2}} + V^{-\frac{1}{K^2}} + a_{11}^{\frac{1}{4K^2}} (\log(a_{11}qUV))^{\frac{3k^2-2k+1}{4K^2}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{W}{U} + \frac{qW^k}{a_{11}U^kV^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{4K^2}} \right) \\
&\ll_{A,B} UV (\log(UV))^{\frac{3k^2-2k+1+(k+1)A}{4K^2} - \frac{B}{8kK^2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, if $U \leq (\log V)^{\frac{B}{2K}}$, then by Lemma 4.9,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq U \\ x \equiv c \pmod{W}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq V \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha(\psi((xy - b)/W) + g(y))) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{1 \leq x \leq U} \left| \sum_{1 \leq y \leq (V-c')/W+1} e(\alpha(\psi(xy - (W-c')x/W - b/W) + g(Wy - W + c'))) \right| \\
&\ll UV \left(V^{-\frac{1}{K}} W^{\frac{1}{K}} + a_*^{\frac{1}{2K}} U^{\frac{k}{2K}} (\log(a_*qU^kV))^{\frac{(k-1)^2}{2K}} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{W}{V} + \frac{qW^k}{V^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2K}} \right) \\
&\ll_{A,B} UV (\log(UV))^{\frac{(k-1)^2+(k+2)A}{2K} - \frac{B}{4K}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.1. Let $\psi(x) = a_1x^k + a_2x^{k-1} \cdots + a_kx$ be a polynomial with integral coefficients and $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let $A \geq 1$ and $B > 64k^2(k^2 + K^2)A$. Suppose that $1 \leq W, a_1 \leq (\log N)^A$. Then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq N \\ Wx+b \text{ is prime}}} \log(Wx + b) e(\alpha\psi(x)) \ll_{A,B} N (\log N)^{-\frac{B}{64k^2K^2}} \quad (4.11)$$

provided that $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ with $(\log N)^{B+1} \leq q \leq \psi(N)(\log N)^{-B-1}$ and $(a, q) = 1$.

Proof. For a proposition P , define $\mathbf{1}_P = 1$ or 0 according to whether P holds. Let $F(x) = e(\alpha\psi((x - b)/W)) \mathbf{1}_{x \equiv b \pmod{W}}$. Let $V = WN + b$ and $X = V^{2/5}$. Clearly

$$(\log V)^B \leq (\log N)^{B+1} \leq q \leq \psi(N)(\log N)^{-B-1} \leq \psi(V)(\log V)^{-B}.$$

By Vaughan's identity we have,

$$\sum_{X < x \leq V} \Lambda(x) F(x) = S_1 - S_2 - S_3,$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_{1 \leq d \leq X} \mu(d) \sum_{1 \leq z \leq V/d} \sum_{x \leq V/dz} \Lambda(x) F(xdz),$$

$$S_2 = \sum_{1 \leq d \leq X} \mu(d) \sum_{1 \leq z \leq V/d} \sum_{x \leq \min\{X, V/dz\}} \Lambda(x) F(xdz),$$

and

$$S_3 = \sum_{X < u \leq V} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq d \leq X \\ d|u}} \mu(d) \sum_{X < x \leq V/u} \Lambda(x) F(xu).$$

In fact, letting $\tau_u = \sum_{1 \leq d|u, d \leq X} \mu(d)$, we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq u \leq V} \tau_u \sum_{X < x \leq V/u} \Lambda(x) F(xu) = \sum_{X < u \leq V} \tau_u \sum_{X < x \leq V/u} \Lambda(x) F(xu) + \sum_{X < x \leq V} \Lambda(x) F(x),$$

since $\tau_1 = 1$ and $\tau_u = 0$ for $1 < u \leq X$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq u \leq V} \tau_u \sum_{X < x \leq V/u} \Lambda(x) F(xu) &= \sum_{1 \leq u \leq V} \sum_{d|u, 1 \leq d \leq X} \mu(d) \sum_{X < x \leq V/u} \Lambda(x) F(xu) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq d \leq X} \mu(d) \sum_{1 \leq z \leq V/d} \sum_{X < x \leq V/dz} \Lambda(x) F(xdz). \end{aligned}$$

First, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} |S_1| &= \left| \sum_{d \leq X} \mu(d) \sum_{xz \leq V/d} \Lambda(x) e(\alpha\psi((dxz - b)/W)) \mathbf{1}_{dxz \equiv b \pmod{W}} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{1 \leq d \leq X} \mu(d) \sum_{1 \leq u \leq V/d} e(\alpha\psi((du - b)/W)) \mathbf{1}_{du \equiv b \pmod{W}} \sum_{x|u} \Lambda(x) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq d \leq X} \left| \sum_{1 \leq u \leq V/d} e(\alpha\psi((du - b)/W)) \mathbf{1}_{du \equiv b \pmod{W}} \log u \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq d \leq X} \left| \sum_{1 \leq u \leq V/d} e(\alpha\psi((du - b)/W)) \mathbf{1}_{du \equiv b \pmod{W}} \int_1^u \frac{dt}{t} \right| \\ &\leq \int_1^V \sum_{1 \leq d \leq X} \left| \sum_{t \leq u \leq V/d} e(\alpha\psi((du - b)/W)) \mathbf{1}_{du \equiv b \pmod{W}} \right| \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq d \leq X} \left| \sum_{t \leq u \leq V/d} e(\alpha\psi((du-b)/W)) \mathbf{1}_{du \equiv b \pmod{W}} \right| \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq d \leq \min\{X, V/t\}} \left| \sum_{t \leq u \leq V/d} e(\alpha\psi((du-b)/W)) \mathbf{1}_{du \equiv b \pmod{W}} \right| \\
&= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq c \leq W \\ (c, W)=1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq d \leq \min\{X, V/t\} \\ d \equiv c \pmod{W}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{t \leq u \leq V/d \\ uc \equiv b \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha\psi((du-b)/W)) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

So it suffices to estimate

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq d \leq \min\{X, V/t\} \\ d \equiv c \pmod{W}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq u < t \\ uc \equiv b \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha\psi((du-b)/W)) \right|$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq d \leq \min\{X, V/t\} \\ d \equiv c \pmod{W}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq u \leq V/d \\ uc \equiv b \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha\psi((du-b)/W)) \right|.$$

Applying Lemma 4.11,

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq d \leq \min\{X, V/t\} \\ d \equiv c \pmod{W}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq u \leq V/d \\ uc \equiv b \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha\psi((du-b)/W)) \right| \ll V(\log V)^{-\frac{B}{16k^2K^2}}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq d \leq \min\{X, V/t\} \\ d \equiv c \pmod{W}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq u < t \\ uc \equiv b \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha\psi((du-b)/W)) \right| \leq Xt,$$

we may assume that $t \geq V^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then by Lemma 4.12,

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq d \leq \min\{X, V/t\} \\ d \equiv c \pmod{W}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq u < t \\ uc \equiv b \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha\psi((du-b)/W)) \right| \ll V(\log t)^{-\frac{B}{16k^2K^2}}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_2| &= \sum_{1 \leq d \leq X} \mu(d) \sum_{1 \leq z \leq V/d} \sum_{1 \leq x \leq \min\{X, V/dz\}} \Lambda(x) e(\alpha \psi((dxz - b)/W)) \mathbf{1}_{dxz \equiv b \pmod{W}} \\
&\leq \sum_{1 \leq d \leq X} \sum_{1 \leq x \leq X} \Lambda(x) \left| \sum_{1 \leq z \leq V/dx} e(\alpha \psi((dxz - b)/W)) \mathbf{1}_{dxz \equiv b \pmod{W}} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{1 \leq y \leq X^2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq X \\ x|y}} \Lambda(x) \left| \sum_{1 \leq z \leq V/y} e(\alpha \psi((yz - b)/W)) \mathbf{1}_{yz \equiv b \pmod{W}} \right| \\
&\leq \log V \sum_{1 \leq y \leq X^2} \left| \sum_{1 \leq z \leq V/y} e(\alpha \psi((yz - b)/W)) \mathbf{1}_{yz \equiv b \pmod{W}} \right| \\
&\ll V(\log V)^{1 - \frac{B}{16k^2K^2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 4.11 is used in the last step.

Finally, let

$$S_3(U_1, U_2) = \sum_{U_1 \leq u \leq U_2} \tau_u \sum_{X < x \leq V/u} \Lambda(x) e(\alpha \psi((xu - b)/W)) \mathbf{1}_{xu \equiv b \pmod{W}}$$

with $X \leq U_1 \leq U_2 \leq 2U_1$, where $\tau_u = \sum_{1 \leq d \leq X, d|u} \mu(d)$. Clearly $S_3(U_1, U_2) \neq 0$ only if $X < V/U_1$. Since $|\tau_u| \leq d(u)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|S_3(U_1, U_2)| \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{U_1 \leq u \leq U_2} |\tau_u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{u=U_1}^{U_2} \left| \sum_{X < x \leq V/u} \Lambda(x) e(\alpha \psi((xu - b)/W)) \mathbf{1}_{xu \equiv b \pmod{W}} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq U_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log U_2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\sum_{U_1 \leq u \leq U_2} \sum_{\substack{X < x, y \leq V/u \\ xu \equiv b \pmod{W} \\ yu \equiv b \pmod{W}}} \Lambda(x) \Lambda(y) e(\alpha(\psi((xu - b)/W) - \psi((yu - b)/W))) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now for $1 \leq c, c' \leq W$ with $cc' \equiv b \pmod{W}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{U_1 \leq u \leq U_2 \\ u \equiv c \pmod{W}}} \sum_{\substack{X < x, y \leq V/u \\ x \equiv c' \pmod{W} \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} \Lambda(x) \Lambda(y) e(\alpha(\psi((xu - b)/W) - \psi((yu - b)/W))) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{X < x, y \leq V/U_1 \\ x \equiv c' \pmod{W} \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} \Lambda(x) \Lambda(y) \sum_{\substack{U_1 \leq u \leq \min\{U_2, V/x, V/y\} \\ u \equiv c \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha(\psi((xu - b)/W) - \psi((yu - b)/W))) \\
&= 2 \sum_{\substack{X < x < y \leq V/U_1 \\ x \equiv c' \pmod{W} \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} \Lambda(x) \Lambda(y) \sum_{\substack{U_1 \leq u \leq \min\{U_2, V/y\} \\ u \equiv c \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha(\psi((xu - b)/W) - \psi((yu - b)/W))) \\
&\quad + O((V/U_1 - X)/W).
\end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{X < x < y \leq V/U_1 \\ x \equiv c' \pmod{W} \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} \Lambda(x) \Lambda(y) \sum_{\substack{U_1 \leq u \leq \min\{U_2, V/y\} \\ u \equiv c \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha(\psi((xu-b)/W) - \psi((yu-b)/W))) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{V/U_2 < y \leq V/U_1 \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} \Lambda(y) \sum_{\substack{X < x < y \\ x \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} \Lambda(x) \sum_{\substack{U_1 \leq u \leq V/y \\ u \equiv c \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha(\psi((xu-b)/W) - \psi((yu-b)/W))) \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{X < y \leq V/U_2 \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} \Lambda(y) \sum_{\substack{X < x < y \\ x \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} \Lambda(x) \sum_{\substack{U_1 \leq u \leq U_2 \\ u \equiv c \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha(\psi((xu-b)/W) - \psi((yu-b)/W))).
\end{aligned}$$

If $V/U_2 < y$, then by Lemma 4.12,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{X < x < y \\ x \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{U_1 \leq u \leq V/y \\ u \equiv c \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha(\psi((xu-b)/W) - \psi((yu-b)/W))) \right| \\
&\ll (X+y)U_1(\log U_1)^{-\frac{B}{16kK^2}} + (X+y)(V/y)(\log(V/y))^{-\frac{B}{16kK^2}} \\
&\ll (X+y)(U_1 + V/y)(\log V)^{-\frac{B}{16kK^2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, if $y \leq V/U_2$, then by Lemma 4.12,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{X < x < y \\ x \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} \sum_{\substack{U_1 \leq u \leq U_2 \\ u \equiv c \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha(\psi((xu-b)/W) - \psi((yu-b)/W))) \\
&\ll (X+y)U_1(\log U_1)^{-\frac{B}{16kK^2}} + (X+y)U_2(\log U_2)^{-\frac{B}{16kK^2}} \\
&\ll (X+y)(U_1 + U_2)(\log V)^{-\frac{B}{16kK^2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{X < x < y \leq V/U_1 \\ x \equiv c' \pmod{W} \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} \Lambda(x) \Lambda(y) \sum_{\substack{U_1 \leq u \leq \min\{U_2, V/y\} \\ u \equiv c \pmod{W}}} e(\alpha(\psi((xu-b)/W) - \psi((yu-b)/W))) \\
&\ll (\log V)^{2-\frac{B}{16kK^2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{V/U_2 < y \leq V/U_1 \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} (X+y)(U_1 + V/y) + \sum_{\substack{X < y \leq V/U_2 \\ y \equiv c' \pmod{W}}} (X+y)(U_1 + U_2) \right) \\
&\ll V^2 U_1^{-1} (\log V)^{2-\frac{B}{16kK^2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$S_3(U_1, U_2) \ll U_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log U_2)^{\frac{3}{2}} (V^2 U_1^{-1} (\log V)^{2-\frac{B}{16kK^2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll V (\log V)^{3-\frac{B}{32kK^2}},$$

and

$$S_3 \ll V (\log V)^{4-\frac{B}{32kK^2}}.$$

All are done. $\square$

Acknowledgement. We thank Professor Emmanuel Lesigne for his useful comments on our paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Balog, J. Pelikán, J. Pintz and E. Szemerédi, *Difference sets without k -th powers*, Acta Math. Hungarica, **65**(1994), 165-187.
- [2] V. Bergelson and A. Leibman, *Polynomial extensions of van der Waerden's and Szemerédi's theorems*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **9**(1996), 725-753.
- [3] V. Bergelson and E. Lesigne, *Van der Corput sets in $\mathbb{Z}^d$* , preprint, arXiv:0710.4861.
- [4] J. Bourgain, *On $\Lambda(p)$ -subsets of squares*, Israel J. Math., **67**(1989), 291-311.
- [5] J. Bourgain, *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. I. Schrödinger equations*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **3**(1993), 107-156.
- [6] J. G. van der Corput, *Über Summen von Primzahlen und Primzahlquadraten*, Math. Ann., **116**(1939), 1-50.
- [7] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, Third edition, *Grad. Texts Math.* **74**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [8] N. Frantzikinakis, B. Host and B. Kra, *Multiple recurrence and convergence for sequences related to the prime numbers*, J. Reine Angew. Math., to appear.
- [9] H. Furstenberg, *Ergodic behavior of diagonal measures and a theorem of Szemerédi on arithmetical progressions*, J. d'Analyse Math., **31**(1977), 204-256.
- [10] B. Green, *On arithmetic structures in dense sets of integers*, Duke Math. J., **114**(2002), 215-238.
- [11] B. Green, *Roth's theorem in the primes*, Ann. Math. (2), **161**(2005), 1609-1636.
- [12] B. Green and T. Tao, *The primes contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions*, Ann. Math., to appear.
- [13] B. Green and T. Tao, *Linear Equations in Primes*, Ann. Math., to appear.
- [14] T. Gowers, *A new proof of Szemerédi's theorem*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **11**(2001), 465-588.
- [15] T. Kamae and M. Mendés France, *Van der Corput's difference theorem*, Israel J. Math., **31**(1978), 335-342.
- [16] J. Lucier, *Intersective sets given by a polynomial*, Acta Arith. **123**(2006), 57-95.
- [17] J. Lucier, *Difference sets and shifted primes*, preprint, arXiv:0705.3749.
- [18] J. Pintz, W. L. Steiger and E. Szemerédi, *On sets of natural numbers whose difference set contains no squares*, J. London Math. Soc., **37**(1988), 219-231.
- [19] K. F. Roth, *On certain sets of integers*, J. London Math. Soc., **28**(1953), 104-109.
- [20] I. Z. Ruzsa and T. Sanders, *Difference sets and the primes*, preprint, arXiv:0710.0644.
- [21] A. Sárközy, *On difference sets of sequences on integers I*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., **31**(1978), 125-149.
- [22] A. Sárközy, *On difference sets of sequences on integers III*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., **31**(1978), 355-386.
- [23] E. Szemerédi, *On sets of integers containing no k elements in arithmetic progression*, Acta Arith., **27**(1975), 299-345.
- [24] S. Srinivasan, *On a result of Sárközy and Furstenberg*, Nieuw Arch. Wiskd. (4), **3**(1985), 275-280.
- [25] T. Tao, *Some highlights of arithmetic combinatorics, Lecture notes 4: Roth's theorem for APs of length 3; Gowers' proof of Szemerédi's theorem for APs of length 4*, available at <http://www.math.ucla.edu/~tao/254a.1.03w/notes4.dvi>.
- [26] T. Tao and T. Ziegler, *The primes contain arbitrarily long polynomial progressions*, Acta Math., to appear.
- [27] R. C. Vaughan, *The Hardy-Littlewood Method*, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [28] I.M. Vinogradov, *Special Variants of the Method of Trigonometric Sums* (in Russian), Moscow: Nauka, 1976.

E-mail address: lihz@sjtu.edu.cn

E-mail address: haopan79@yahoo.com.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200240,
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA